

14 Foundation of Programming Languages and Software Engineering: *Abstract Data Types*

Summer Term 2010

Robert Elsässer

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



**UNI
FREIBURG**

Abstract data types



- Problem-specific concepts
 - Search trees
 - Lists
 - Queues
 - ...
- Implementations of these concepts may have different characteristics:
 - Memory usage
 - Efficiency
- Implementations should be exchangeable
- Abstract over the concepts, use ADTs!
 - Functional specification
 - Implementation independent
 - Different implementations of a single ADT are possible

ADTs are special signatures



Definition

Let Σ be a signature.

- A Σ -identity is a pair $s \approx t \in T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$.
- An ADT is a pair (Σ, \mathcal{E}) where
 - Σ is a signature,
 - $\mathcal{E} \subseteq T(\Sigma, X) \times T(\Sigma, X)$ is a set of Σ -identities.

Examples



An ADT for natural numbers

$$\Sigma_{nat} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{nat} = \emptyset$$

An ADT for integers

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x\}$$

Datatypes are Σ -Algebras



Definition

- A **datatype** is a Σ -algebra \mathcal{D} .
- A datatype \mathcal{D} **implements** the ADT (Σ, \mathcal{E}) iff every identity $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$ is valid in \mathcal{D} .
(**Note:** We shall refine this definition later.)
- An identity $s \approx t$ is valid in a Σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \alpha)$ iff $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(s) = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(t)$ for all variable assignments $\mathcal{J} : X \rightarrow A$.

Implementations of the ADT for Naturals



Implementation 1

- $\mathcal{Dnat}_1 = (\mathbb{N}, \alpha_1)$, $\alpha_1(\text{zero}) = 0$, $\alpha_1(\text{succ})(X) = X + 1$.
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is bijective.

Implementations of the ADT for Naturals



Implementation 1

- $Dnat_1 = (\mathbb{N}, \alpha_1)$, $\alpha_1(\text{zero}) = 0$, $\alpha_1(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$.
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is bijective.

Implementation 2

- $Dnat_2 = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \alpha_2)$, $\alpha_2(\text{zero}) = 0$,
 $\alpha_2(\text{succ})(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$.
- No identities, so all are valid.
- The function $\hat{\alpha}_2$ is not injective (but surjective).
 $\hat{\alpha}_2(\text{zero}) = 0 = \hat{\alpha}_2(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{zero}))))))$

Implementation 1

- $Dint_1 = (\mathbb{Z}, \beta_1)$, $\beta_1(\text{zero})() = 0$
 $\beta_1(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$
 $\beta_1(\text{pred})(x) = x - 1$
- For arbitrary $\mathcal{J} : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we have
 $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = (\mathcal{J}(x) + 1) - 1 = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(x)$
 $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = (\mathcal{J}(x) - 1) + 1 = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(x)$
- $\hat{\beta}_1$ is surjective but not injective. Consider
 $\hat{\beta}_1(\text{zero}) = 0 = \hat{\beta}_1(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})))$

Implementation 2

- $Dint_2 = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \beta_2)$,
 $\beta_2(\text{zero})() = 0$
 $\beta_2(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1 \pmod{4}$
 $\beta_2(\text{pred})(x) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ x - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- For arbitrary $\mathcal{J} : \{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we have
 $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x))) = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(x)$
 $\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(x)$
- $\hat{\beta}_2$ is surjective but not injective.

A Non-implementation

- $Dint_3 = (\mathbb{N}, \beta_3)$

$$\beta_3(\text{zero})() = 0$$

$$\beta_3(\text{succ})(x) = x + 1$$

$$\beta_3(\text{pred})(x) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Not an implementation:

For $\mathcal{J} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathcal{J}(x) = 0$ we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(x))) = 1 \neq 0 = \hat{\mathcal{J}}(x)$$

Fixing the Problems



- Want to rule out implementations such as \mathcal{Dnat}_2 and \mathcal{Dint}_2
- Definition of “implementation” is too weak
- Needed: restriction on function $\hat{\alpha}$
 - $\hat{\alpha}$ is not necessarily injective (see \mathcal{Dint}_1)
 - Idea: $\hat{\alpha}$ must be injective on the equivalence classes induced by the identities of an ADT.

Equivalence Classes



Definition

Suppose R is an equivalence relation on some set M .

- The set $[x]_R := \{y \in M \mid x R y\}$ is called the **equivalence class** of x .
- $y \in [x]_R$ is called a **representative** of $[x]_R$.
- The **quotient of M with respect to R** is the set of equivalence classes induced by R , written $M/R := \{[x]_R \mid x \in M\}$.

Note: For equivalence classes $[x]_R$ and $[y]_R$ we have either $[x]_R = [y]_R$ or $[x]_R \cap [y]_R = \emptyset$.

Congruence Relations



Definition

Suppose Σ is a signature and let R be an equivalence relation on $T(\Sigma, X)$.

- R is a **congruence relation** iff R is closed under Σ -operations, i.e. $s R s'$ implies $f(t_1, \dots, s, \dots, t_n) R f(t_1, \dots, s', \dots, t_n)$ for any $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $s, s', t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$.

Syntactic Quotient Algebras



Lemma

Let Σ be a signature and R be a congruence on $T(\Sigma, X)$. For all $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$, define α^R as follows:

$$\alpha^R(f)([t_1]_R, \dots, [t_n]_R) = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$$

Then $(T(\Sigma, X)/_R, \alpha^R)$ is a Σ -algebra.

Proof. We need to show that α^R is well-defined. Suppose $n \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, and $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n \in T(\Sigma, X)$. If $s_1 R t_1, \dots, s_n R t_n$ then $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) R f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Hence, $[f(s_1, \dots, s_n)]_R = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_R$ because R is a congruence.

Definition

Let (Σ, \mathcal{E}) be an ADT. We define a relation $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $T(\Sigma, X)$ as the smallest relation such that

- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a congruence relation;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ contains \mathcal{E} , i.e. $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$;
- $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is closed under substitutions, i.e. $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ implies $\sigma(s) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma(t)$ for any substitution σ and all $s, t \in T(\Sigma, X)$.

Example



Congruence classes of $\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}$

$$\Sigma_{int} = \{\text{zero}^{(0)}, \text{pred}^{(1)}, \text{succ}^{(1)}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{int} = \{\text{pred}(\text{succ}(x)) = x, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(x)) = x\}$$

$$[\text{zero}]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}_{int}}} = \{\text{zero}, \\ \text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{zero})), \\ \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{zero}))))), \dots\}$$

Revised Definition for ADT Implementations



Definition

A datatype $\mathcal{D} = (M, \alpha)$ implements ADT (Σ, \mathcal{E}) with constructors $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$ if

- (M, α) is a Σ -algebra
- All identities from \mathcal{E} are valid in M
- For all $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$: $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ iff $\hat{\alpha}(s) = \hat{\alpha}(t)$

Syntactic Implementations of ADTs



Theorem

Let (Σ, \mathcal{E}) be an ADT with constructors $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{D} = (T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}})$ is an implementation of (Σ, \mathcal{E}) .

Proof. \mathcal{D} is a Σ -algebra because $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a congruence. An easy term induction shows that

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(t) = [t]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \quad (1)$$

holds for all $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$.

By using (1), we show for all $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$ that $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ iff $\hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(s) = \hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(t)$.

Proof (cont.)



We still need to establish the validity of the identities in \mathcal{E} . Suppose $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ is an interpretation function. We define a substitution σ as follows:

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ does not appear in } s \text{ or } t, \\ t & \text{otherwise, where } \mathcal{J}(x) = [t]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \end{cases}$$

By term induction, we then show for all $r \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma, X)$

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}(r) = \hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(\sigma(r)) \quad (2)$$

From $s \approx t \in \mathcal{E}$, we get $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$, so $\sigma(s) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma(t)$ holds. We finish to proof by calculating

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}(s) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(\sigma(s)) \stackrel{(1)}{=} [\sigma(s)]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} = [\sigma(t)]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \hat{\alpha}^{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}(\sigma(t)) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \hat{\mathcal{J}}(t)$$

Example



Nat as a constructor-based ADT (CADT)

CADT: $\Sigma = \{z, s\}$, $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$, $\Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation: (\mathbb{N}, α_1) with $\alpha_1(z)() = 0$ and
 $\alpha_1(s)(x) = x + 1$

- (\mathbb{N}, α_1) is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$. Suppose $s, t \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
 - If $s = t$ then $\hat{\alpha}_1(s) = \hat{\alpha}_1(t)$
 - Suppose $s \neq t$. Then $s = s^n(t)$ with $n > 0$. Hence,
 $\hat{\alpha}_1(s) = \hat{\alpha}_1(t) + n \neq \hat{\alpha}_1(t)$.

Example



$Dint_2$ is not an implementation of the natural numbers

CADT: $\Sigma = \{z, s\}$, $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$, $\Gamma = \Sigma$
 $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \alpha_2)$ with $\alpha_2(z)(x) = 0$, $\alpha_2(s)(x) = (x + 1) \bmod 4$
is **not** an implementation.

- $(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \alpha_2)$ is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$.

We have $z \neq s^4(z)$ but $\hat{\alpha}_2(z) = 0 = \hat{\alpha}_2(s^4(z))$.

Example



Alternative implementation of the natural numbers

CADT: $\Sigma = \{z, s\}$, $\mathcal{E} = \{\}$, $\Gamma = \Sigma$

Implementation: $(\{a\}^*, \alpha_3)$ with $\alpha_3(z)(\epsilon) = \epsilon$, $\alpha_3(s)(w) = aw$

- $(\{a\}^*, \alpha_3)$ is Σ -algebra
- No identities to check
- Since $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$, $\approx_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $=$.
 - If $s = t$ then $\hat{\alpha}_3(s) = \hat{\alpha}_3(t)$
 - Suppose $s \neq t$. Then $s = s^n(t)$ with $n > 0$. Hence,
 $\hat{\alpha}_3(s) = \hat{\alpha}_3(t) \underbrace{a \dots a}_n \neq \hat{\alpha}_3(t)$.

Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers



Suppose $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{z, s, p\}$, $\mathcal{E} = \{s(p(x)) = x, p(s(x)) = x\}$

Question: What is $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\mathcal{E}}$?

Answer: Give a representative for every equivalence class.

Lemma

For every term $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$, exactly one of the following propositions holds

- A There exists $n > 0$ such that $t \in [s^n(z)]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.
- B $t \in [z]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.
- C There exists $n > 0$ such that $t \in [p^n(z)]_{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}}$.

The proof is by term induction over t .

- $t = z$ so **B** holds.
- Induction Step for $t = s(t')$. By the IH, one of the following holds for t' .
 - A** If $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s^{(n)}(z)$ for $n > 0$
then $s(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s(s^{(n)}(z)) = s^{(n+1)}(z)$.
Since $n + 1 > 0$ we have case **A**.
 - B** If $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} z$ then $s(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s(z)$.
We have case **A** with $n = 1$.
 - C** If $t' \approx_{\mathcal{E}} p^{(n)}(z)$ for $n > 0$
then $s(t') \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s(p^{(n)}(z))$.
If $n = 1$ then $s(p(z)) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} z$ so case **B** holds.
If $n > 1$ then $s(p(p^{(n-1)}(z))) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} p^{(n-1)}(z)$, so case **C** holds.
- Induction step for $p(t)$ analogous.

Equivalence Classes for Terms Representing Integers



Lemma

Suppose $n > 0, m > 0$. Then we have

- $z \not\approx_{\varepsilon} s^n(z)$,
- $z \not\approx_{\varepsilon} p^n(z)$,
- $s^n(z) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} p^m(z)$,
- $s^n(z) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} s^m(z)$ provided $n \neq m$, and
- $p^n(z) \not\approx_{\varepsilon} p^m(z)$ provided $n \neq m$.

If follows that

$$\{s^n(z) | n > 0\} \cup \{z\} \cup \{p^n(z) | n > 0\}$$

is a set of representatives for $T(\Sigma, \emptyset) / \approx_{\varepsilon}$.

Example



Integers as a CADT

CADT: $\Gamma = \Sigma = \{z, s, p\}$, $\mathcal{E} = \{s(p(x)) = x, p(s(x)) = x\}$

Implementation: (\mathbb{Z}, α) with

$\alpha(z) = 0$, $\alpha(s)(x) = x + 1$, $\alpha(p)(x) = x - 1$

- (\mathbb{Z}, α) is a Σ -algebra
- All identities are valid (as seen before)
- An easy term induction shows for all $t \in T(\Sigma, \emptyset)$ that
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} z$ then $\hat{\alpha}(t) = 0$,
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s^n(z)$ then $\hat{\alpha}(t) = n$, and
 - if $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} p^n(z)$ then $\hat{\alpha}(t) = -n$.

Hence, if $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ then $\hat{\alpha}(s) = \hat{\alpha}(t)$.

Conversely, if $s \not\approx_{\mathcal{E}} t$ then $\hat{\alpha}(s) \neq \hat{\alpha}(t)$ because $\hat{\alpha}$ maps different representatives to different integers.

Summary



Slogan

Calculating with ADT =
applying term operations +
determining set of representatives.

Definition (Linear Data Structure)

An ADT is called a **linear data structure (LDS)** iff there is an implementation with simple lists.

- LDS are **aggregates**, i.e. one element contains several elements of another sort.
- Types are now parameterized.
 - Examples: `List(A)`, `Array(A)`
 - `A` is not a fixed type but rather a type parameter.
 - Compare with Java Generics: `List<A>`, `A[]`

Definition (Signature for Lists)

<u>data type</u>	$List(A)$
<u>operations</u>	$empty : \rightarrow List(A)$
	$cons : A \times List(A) \rightarrow List(A)$
	$head : List(A) \rightarrow A$
	$tail : List(A) \rightarrow List(A)$
	$empty? : List(A) \rightarrow Boolean$
	$app : List(A) \times List(A) \rightarrow List(A)$
	$len : List(A) \rightarrow Nat$

- Definition parameterized over A
- Constructors: $empty$, $cons$
- Definition uses more than one type: More general notion of signature and arity needed

Lists (cont.)



Definition (Identities for Lists)

identities $\text{head}(\text{cons}(a, l)) = a$
 $\text{tail}(\text{cons}(a, l)) = l$
 $\text{empty?}(\text{empty}) = \text{true}$
 $\text{empty?}(\text{cons}(a, l)) = \text{false}$
 $\text{app}(\text{empty}, v) = v$
 $\text{app}(\text{cons}(a, l), v) = \text{cons}(a, \text{app}(l, v))$
 $\text{len}(\text{empty}) = \text{zero}$
 $\text{len}(\text{cons}(a, l)) = \text{succ}(\text{len}(l))$

Abstract Data Types

Heterogeneous Signatures



Definition

Let S be a set of **sorts**. A **heterogeneous signature** Σ is a set of function symbols where each $f \in \Sigma$ is associated with an **arity** $s \rightarrow s'$ where $s \in S^*$ and $s' \in S$.

Examples

- Arity of `empty`: $\epsilon \rightarrow \text{List}(A)$
- Arity of `cons`: $(A, \text{List}(A)) \rightarrow \text{List}(A)$

Previous definitions need to be generalized as well:

- An algebra has different carrier sets for every sort.
- Terms must respect the sorts associated with a function symbol to rule out illegal terms such as `cons(1, 1)`.
- Generalize congruence relation

Dealing with Partial Operations



- head and tail are partial operations:
 - $\text{head}(\text{empty}) = ??$
 - $\text{tail}(\text{empty}) = ??$
- One possible solution: Introduce a distinguished element \perp_s for every sort s
 - $\text{head}(\text{empty}) = \perp_A$
 - $\text{tail}(\text{empty}) = \perp_{\text{List}(A)}$
- All operations are strict in \perp_s , i.e. if one argument is \perp_s the result is $\perp_{s'}$
 - $\text{head}(\perp_{\text{List}(A)}) = \perp_A$
 - $\text{tail}(\perp_{\text{List}(A)}) = \perp_{\text{List}(A)}$
 - $\text{empty?}(\perp_{\text{List}(A)}) = \perp_{\text{Boolean}}$
 - $\text{len}(\perp_{\text{List}(A)}) = \perp_{\text{Nat}}$
 - ...

Search for Representatives



Proposition

Let t be a term of type $\text{List}(A)$ without variables. Then one of the following holds:

- $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} t'$ and $t' \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$ where $\Gamma = \{\text{empty}, \text{cons}\}$.
- $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \perp_{\text{List}(A)}$

Proof. The proof is by induction on t .

- Case $t = \text{empty} \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$. Trivial.
- Case $t = \text{cons}(a, s)$ with $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'$ and $s' \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
Hence, $\text{cons}(a, s) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{cons}(a, s') \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
- Case $t = \text{head}(s)$ so t does not have type $\text{List}(A)$.
- Case $t = \text{tail}(s)$ with $s \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'$ and $s' \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
If $s' = \text{empty}$ then $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \perp_{\text{List}(A)}$.
If $s' = \text{cons}(a, s'')$ then $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'' \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.

Proof (cont.)



- Case $t = \text{empty?}(s)$ but then t does not have type $\text{List}(A)$.
- Case $t = \text{app}(s_1, s_2)$ with $s_1 \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'_1$ and $s_2 \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'_2$ and $s'_1, s'_2 \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
 - If $s'_1 = \text{empty}$ then $t \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{app}(\text{empty}, s_2) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s_2 \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'_2$.
 - If $s'_1 = \text{cons}(a, s''_1)$ then
$$t = \text{app}(s_1, s_2) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{app}(\text{cons}(a, s''_1), s_2)$$
$$\approx_{\mathcal{E}} \text{cons}(a, \text{app}(s''_1, s_2))$$
By the IH, we have $\text{app}(s''_1, s_2) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} s'$ with $s' \in T(\Gamma, \emptyset)$.
- Case $t = \text{len}(s)$ but then t does not have type $\text{List}(A)$.

Another Example



Sequences

- Also know as **Array** or **Vector**
- Parameterized over type of elements
- Fixed number of elements
- Direct access to elements (constant time)

Definition (Signature for Arrays)

<u>data type</u>	$\text{Array}(A)$
<u>operations</u>	$\text{new} : \text{Nat} \times A \rightarrow \text{Array}(A)$
	$\text{update} : \text{Array}(A) \times \text{Nat} \times A \rightarrow \text{Array}(A)$
	$\text{get} : \text{Array}(A) \times \text{Nat} \rightarrow A$
	$\text{len} : \text{Array}(A) \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

- Functional arrays
- In imperative languages: `update` operation changes the array

Arrays (cont.)



Definition (Identities for Arrays)

- identities
- (i.1) $\text{get}(\text{new}(n, x), i) = x$ **if** $i < n$
 - (i.2) $\text{get}(\text{update}(a, i, x), i) = x$ **if** $i < \text{len}(a)$
 - (i.3) $\text{get}(\text{update}(a, j, x), i) = \text{get}(a, i)$ **if** $i \neq j$
 - (i.4) $\text{update}(\text{update}(a, i, x), i, y)$
 $= \text{update}(a, i, y)$
 - (i.5) $\text{update}(\text{update}(a, j, x), i, y)$
 $= \text{update}(\text{update}(a, i, y), j, x)$ **if** $i \neq j$
 - (i.6) $\text{update}(\text{new}(n, x), i, x) = \text{new}(n, x)$ **if** $i < n$
 - (i.7) $\text{len}(\text{new}(n, x)) = n$
 - (i.8) $\text{len}(\text{update}(a, i, x)) = \text{len}(a)$

New: Conditional identities

Calculating with representatives

Suppose the carrier set of A is $\{a, b, c\}$.

- 1 $\text{get}(\text{new}(10, a), 5) \stackrel{(i.1)}{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} a$
- 2 $\text{get}(\text{new}(10, a), 11) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \perp_A$
- 3 $\text{get}(\text{update}(\text{update}(\text{new}(10, a), 5, b), 0, c), 5)$
 $\stackrel{(i.3)}{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \text{get}(\text{update}(\text{new}(10, a), 5, b), 5) \stackrel{(i.2)}{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} b$
- 4 $\text{update}(\text{update}(\text{new}(10, a), 5, b), 5, a)$
 $\stackrel{(i.4)}{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \text{update}(\text{new}(10, a), 5, a) \stackrel{(i.6)}{\approx_{\mathcal{E}}} \text{new}(10, a)$
- 5 $\text{get}(\text{update}(\text{new}(0, a), 1, a), 1) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} \perp_A$
(i.2) is not applicable because
 $\text{len}(\text{update}(\text{new}(0, a), 1, a)) \approx_{\mathcal{E}} 0$.