

# 19 Database Foundation: *Relational Calculus*

Summer Term 2010

Robert Elsässer

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg



**UNI  
FREIBURG**

# Relational Calculus



## Syntax

Formulas (*R-Formulas*) are built out of constants, variables, relation names, junctors  $\neg, \wedge, \vee$ , quantors  $\forall, \exists$  und auxiliary symbols '(', ')', ',', ' '.

- Let  $R$  be a relation name of arity  $k$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be constants or variables.

$R(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is an (atomic) R-formula.

- Let *selection condition*  $\alpha$  be given as  $X\theta Y$ , or  $X\theta a$ , or  $a\theta X$ , where  $X, Y$  variables,  $a$  a constant and  $\theta \in \{=, \neq, \leq, <, \geq, >\}$  a comparison operator.

$\alpha$  is an (atomic) R-formula.

- Let  $F$  be a R-formula.

$\neg F$  is a R-Formula.

- Let  $F$  be a R-formula containing a variable  $X$ , however not containing an expression of the form  $\exists X$ , resp.  $\forall X$ .  $X$  is called *free* in  $F$  and *bound* in  $F$  otherwise.

$\exists X F$  is called a ( $\exists$ -quantified) R-formula.

$\forall X F$  is called a ( $\forall$ -quantified) R-formula.

$F$  is the *scope* of the  $\exists$ -, resp.  $\forall$ -quantifier.

- Let  $F$  and  $G$  be R-formulas and let  $\mathcal{V}_F$ , resp.  $\mathcal{V}_G$  the set of variables contained in  $F$ , resp.  $G$ , where variables occurring in  $\mathcal{V}_F \cap \mathcal{V}_G$  are free in  $F$  and free in  $G$ .

The *conjunction*  $(F \wedge G)$  is a R-formula.

The *disjunction*  $(F \vee G)$  is a R-formula.

- A relational calculus query  $Q$  over a database schema  $\mathcal{R}$  is given as

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\},$$

where  $F$  a R-formula over  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  variables and constants.

- The set of variables among the  $a_i$  equals the set of free variables in  $F$ .
- To state a format of the result we can write

$$\{(a_1 : A_1, \dots, a_n : A_n) \mid F\}.$$

# Semantic: atomic queries



- Let the set of variables of  $F$  be  $\mathcal{V}_F$ . A *variable assignment*  $\nu$  of  $F$  is a function over  $\mathcal{V}_F$ :

$$\nu : \mathcal{V}_F \rightarrow \text{dom}.$$

- We extend  $\nu$  by identity for constants; for any constant  $a$  there holds  $\nu(a) = a$ .
- Consider a query over schema  $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  given as

$$Q = \{(a_1 \dots, a_n) \mid R(a_1, \dots, a_n)\}.$$

Let  $r$  be an instance of  $R$  and let  $F = R(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . The *answer* to  $Q$  w.r.t.  $r$ ,  $Q(r)$ , is defined as:

$$Q(r) = \{(\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \mid \nu \text{ a variable assignment to } \mathcal{V}_F \\ \text{such that } (\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \in r\}$$

# Examples



## Examples

Consider schemata  $R(A, B)$  and  $S(B, C)$  with instances  $r, s$ .

- $\pi[A]\sigma[B = 5]R \equiv$
- $\pi[A]R \equiv$
- $\sigma[A = B]R \equiv$
- $R \bowtie S \equiv$
- $R \cup \delta[B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B]S \equiv$
- $R - \delta[B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B]S \equiv$
- Let  $T(B)$  be a relation scheme and let  $t = \pi[B]s$ .  $R \div T \equiv$

# Semantic



- Let  $Q = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\}$  be a query, where  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  variables and constants.

The *answer* to  $Q$  w.r.t. instance  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $Q(\mathcal{I})$  is as follows:

$$Q(\mathcal{I}) = \{(\nu(a_1), \dots, \nu(a_n)) \mid \nu \text{ a variable assignment } \mathcal{V}_F \text{ such that } F \text{ true under } \nu \text{ w.r.t. } \mathcal{I}\}.$$

## Example

Consider schemata  $R(A, B), S(C, D)$  with instances  $r, s$ . Let  $Q = \{(X : A, Y : B, V : C, W : D) \mid R(X, Y) \wedge S(V, W) \wedge Y > V\}$  a query.

$r =$	<table><thead><tr><th>A</th><th>B</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1</td></tr></tbody></table>	A	B	1	2	2	2	2	1	$s =$	<table><thead><tr><th>C</th><th>D</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>1</td></tr></tbody></table>	C	D	1	1	1	2	3	1	$\xRightarrow{Q}$
A	B																			
1	2																			
2	2																			
2	1																			
C	D																			
1	1																			
1	2																			
3	1																			

# Domain independence



- Let  $Q := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid F\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  an instance to  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $adom$  the set which contains all constants in  $Q$  and all constants mentioned in  $\mathcal{I}$ .  $adom$  is called *active domain*  $Q$ ;  $adom$  is finite.
- $Q$ , resp.  $F$ , are called *domain independent*, if for any set  $D \supset adom$  there holds:

$$Q(\mathcal{I}, adom) = Q(\mathcal{I}, D).$$



# Example



## Example: queries, which are not domain independent

- $R(A)$  a schema,  $Q$  a query given as

$$\{X \mid \neg R(X)\},$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(R) = \{1\}$ .

- $R(A, B)$  and  $S(B, C)$  schemata.  $Q$  a query given as

$$\{(X, Z) \mid \exists Y (R(X, Y) \vee S(Y, Z))\},$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(R) = \{(1, 1)\}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{I}(S) = \emptyset$ .

# Safety



If R-formula  $F$  is *safe*, then  $F$  domain independent.

- $F$  does not contain  $\forall$ .
- If  $F_1 \vee F_2$  subformulas of  $F$ , then  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  have to contain the same free variables.
- A subformula  $G$  of  $F$  is called *maximally conjunctive*, if  $F$  does not contain a subformula of the form  $H \wedge G$  or  $G \wedge H$ .

Let  $F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_m, m \geq 1$ , be a maximally conjunctive subformula of  $F$ . All free variables  $X$  have to be *bounded* in the following sense ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ):

- If  $X$  is free in  $F_j$ , where  $F_j$  neither a comparison nor negated, then  $X$  bounded.
- If  $F_j$  of the form  $X = a$  or  $a = X$  and  $a$  a constant, then  $X$  bounded.
- If  $F_j$  of the form  $X = Y$  or  $Y = X$  and  $Y$  bounded, then  $X$  bounded.

# Examples



- $\{(X, Y) \mid X = Y \vee R(X, Y)\}$  is not safe.
- $\{(X, Y) \mid X = Y \wedge R(X, Y)\}$  is safe.
- $\{(X, Y, Z) \mid R(X, Y, Z) \wedge \neg(S(X, Y) \vee T(Y, Z))\}$  is not safe, however is safe when written equivalently as

$$R(X, Y, Z) \wedge \neg S(X, Y) \wedge \neg T(Y, Z)$$

- R-Formula (division!)  $\{X \mid \forall Y(S(Y) \Rightarrow R(X, Y))\}$  is equivalent to

$$\{X \mid \neg \exists Y(S(Y) \wedge \neg R(X, Y))\}$$

Both formulas are not safe, however the equivalent writing

$$\{X \mid \neg \exists Y(S(Y) \wedge \neg R(X, Y)) \wedge \exists Z R(X, Z) \wedge \exists U \exists V R(U, V) \wedge X = U\}$$

is safe.