Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Institut für Informatik Prof. Dr. F. Kuhn Juho Hirvonen

June 25, 2018

Network Algorithms, Summer Term 2018 Problem Set 8

hand in by Sunday, July 1, 2018

Exercise 1: Communication Complexity of Set Disjointness

In the lecture we studied the communication complexity of the equality function. Now we consider the disjointness function: Alice and Bob are given subsets $X, Y \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and need to determine whether they are disjoint. Each subset can be represented by a string. E.g. we define the i^{th} bit of $x \in \{0,1\}^k$ as $x_i := 1$ if $i \in X$ and $x_i := 0$ if $i \notin X$. Now define disjointness of X and Y as:

 $DISJ(x,y) := \begin{cases} 0 & : \text{ there is an index } i \text{ such that } x_i = y_i = 1 \\ 1 & : \text{ else} \end{cases}$

- a) Write down M^{DISJ} for the DISJ-function when k = 3.
- **b)** Use the matrix obtained in a) to provide a fooling set of size 4 for DISJ in case k = 3.
- c) In general, prove that $CC(DISJ) = \Omega(k)$.

Exercise 2: Distinguishing Diameter 2 from 4

In the lecture we stated that when the bandwidth of an edge is limited to $O(\log n)$, the diameter of a graph can be computed in O(n). In this problem, we show that we can do faster in case we know that all networks/graphs on which we execute an algorithm have either diameter 2 or diameter 4. We start by partitioning the nodes into sets: Let s := s(n) be a threshold and define the set of high degree nodes $H := \{v \in V \mid d(v) \ge s\}$ and the set of low degree nodes $L := \{v \in V \mid d(v) < s\}$. Next, we define: An *H*-dominating set \mathcal{DOM} is a subset $\mathcal{DOM} \subseteq V$ of the nodes such that each node in *H* is either in the set \mathcal{DOM} or adjacent to a node in the set \mathcal{DOM} . Assume in the following, that we can compute an *H*-dominating set \mathcal{DOM} of size $\frac{n \log n}{s}$ in time O(D).

Algorithm 1 "2-vs-4".	Input: G with diameter 2 or 4	Output: diameter of G
1: if $L \neq \emptyset$ then		
2: choose $v \in L$		\triangleright We know: This takes $O(D)$.
3: compute a BFS tree from each vertex in $N_1(v)$		
4: else		
5: compute an <i>H</i> -dominating set $\mathcal{D}OM$		\triangleright Use: Assumption
6: compute a BFS tree from each vertex in $\mathcal{D}OM$		
7: end if		
8: if all BFS trees have depth 2 or 1 then		
9: return 2		
10: else		
11: return 4		
12: end if		

- a) What is the distributed runtime of Algorithm 2-vs-4? In case you believe that the distributed implementation of a step is not known from the lecture, find a distributed implementation for this step! Hint: The runtime depends on s and n.
- **b)** Find a function s := s(n) such that the runtime is minimized (in terms of n).
- c) Prove that if the diameter is 2, then Algorithm 2-vs-4 always returns 2.

Now assume that the diameter of the network is 4 and that we know vertices u and v with distance 4 to each other.

- d) Prove that if the algorithm performs a BFS from at least one node $w \in N_1(u)$ it decides "the diameter is 4".
- e) In case L ≠ Ø: Prove that the algorithm performs a BFS of depth at least 3 from some node w.
 Hint: use d)
- f) In case $L = \emptyset$: Prove that the algorithm performs a BFS of depth at least 3 from some node w.
- g) Give a high level idea, why you think that this does not violate the lower bound of $\Omega(n/\log n)$ presented in the lecture!
- h) Assume $s = \frac{n}{2}$. Prove or disprove: If the diameter is 2, then Algorithm 2-vs-4 will always compute some BFS tree of depth exactly 2.