# Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Summer Term 2018 Exercise Sheet 9

for getting feedback submit electronically by 06:00 am, Monday, July 2nd, 2018

## Exercise 1: Propositional Logic: Basic Terms (2+2+2+2 Points)

Let  $\Sigma := \{p, q, r\}$  be a set of atoms. An interpretation  $I : \Sigma \to \{T, F\}$  maps every atom to either true or false. Inductively, an interpretation I can be extended to composite formulae  $\varphi$  over  $\Sigma$  (cf. lecture). We write  $I \models \varphi$  if  $\varphi$  evaluates to T (true) under I. In case  $I \models \varphi$ , I is called a *model* for  $\varphi$ .

For each of the following formulae, give *all* interpretations which are models. Make a truth table and/or use logical equivalencies to find all models (document your steps). Which of these formulae are satisfiable, which are unsatisfiable and which are tautologies?

- (a)  $\varphi_1 = (p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \lor q)$
- (b)  $\varphi_2 = (\neg p \land (\neg p \lor q)) \leftrightarrow (p \lor \neg q)$
- (c)  $\varphi_3 = (p \land \neg q) \rightarrow \neg (p \land q)$

(d) 
$$\varphi_4 = (p \land q) \to (p \lor r)$$

 $\textit{Remark: } a \rightarrow b :\equiv \neg a \lor b, \ a \leftrightarrow b :\equiv (a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow a), a \not\rightarrow b :\equiv \neg (a \rightarrow b).$ 

#### Exercise 2: CNF and DNF

- (a) Convert  $\varphi_1 := (p \to q) \to (\neg r \land q)$  into Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF).
- (b) Convert  $\varphi_2 := \neg((\neg p \to \neg q) \land \neg r)$  into Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF).

Remark: Use the known logical equivalencies given in the lecture slides to do the necessary transformations. State which equivalency you are using in each step.

#### **Exercise 3: Logical Entailment**

A knowledge base KB is a set of formulae over a given set of atoms  $\Sigma$ . An interpretation I of  $\Sigma$  is called a model of KB, if it is a model for all formulae in KB. A knowledge base KB entails a formula  $\varphi$  (we write  $KB \models \varphi$ ), if all models of KB are also models of  $\varphi$ .

Let  $KB := \{p \lor q, \neg r \lor p\}$ . Show or disprove that KB logically entails the following formulae.

(a) 
$$\varphi_1 := (p \land q) \lor \neg (\neg r \lor p)$$

(b)  $\varphi_2 := (q \leftrightarrow r) \to p$ 

(2+2 Points)

(2+2 Points)

### **Exercise 4: Inference Rules and Calculi**

(2+2 Points)

Let  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n, \psi$  be propositional formulae. An *inference rule* 

$$\frac{\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n}{\psi}$$

means that if  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$  are 'considered true', then  $\psi$  is 'considered true' as well (n = 0 is the special case of an axiom). A (propositional) *calculus* **C** is described by a *set* of inference rules.

Given a formula  $\psi$  and knowledge base  $KB := \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\}$  (where  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$  are formulae) we write  $KB \vdash_{\mathbf{C}} \psi$  if  $\psi$  can be derived from KB by starting from a subset of KB and repeatedly applying inference rules from the calculus  $\mathbf{C}$  to 'generate' new formulae until  $\psi$  is obtained.

Consider the following two calculi, defined by their inference rules ( $\varphi, \psi, \chi$  are arbitrary formulae).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C_1} : \quad & \frac{\varphi \to \psi, \psi \to \chi}{\varphi \to \chi}, \frac{\neg \varphi \to \psi}{\neg \psi \to \varphi}, \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\varphi \to \psi, \psi \to \varphi} \\ \mathbf{C_2} : \quad & \frac{\varphi, \varphi \to \psi}{\psi}, \frac{\varphi \land \psi}{\varphi, \psi}, \frac{(\varphi \land \psi) \to \chi}{\varphi \to (\psi \to \chi)} \end{aligned}$$

Using the respective calculus, show the following derivations (document your steps).

- (a)  $\{p \leftrightarrow \neg r, \neg q \to r\} \vdash_{\mathbf{C_1}} p \to q$
- (b)  $\{p \land q, p \to r, (q \land r) \to s\} \vdash_{\mathbf{C_2}} s$

Remark: Inferences of a given calculus are purely syntactical, i.e. rules only apply in their specific form (much like a grammar) and no other logical transformations not given in the calculus are allowed.