

# Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course

## Summer 2020

### Exercise Sheet 8

for getting feedback submit electronically by 12:15, Tuesday, July 7, 2020

#### Exercise 1: The Class $\mathcal{P}$

(2+3+2+3 Points)

$\mathcal{P}$  is the set of languages which can be decided by an algorithm whose runtime can be bounded by  $p(n)$ , where  $p$  is a polynomial and  $n$  the size of the respective input (problem instance). Show that the following languages ( $\cong$  problems) are in the class  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since it is typically easy (i.e. feasible in polynomial time) to decide whether an input is well-formed, your algorithm only needs to consider well-formed inputs. Use the  $\mathcal{O}$ -notation to bound the run-time of your algorithm.

- (a) PALINDROME :=  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a Palindrome}\}$
- (b) LIST :=  $\{\langle A, c \rangle \mid A \text{ is a finite list of numbers which contains two numbers } x, y \text{ such that } x + y = c\}$ .
- (c) 3-CLIQUE :=  $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ has a clique of size at least 3}\}$
- (d) 17-DOMINATINGSET :=  $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ has a dominating set of size at most 17}\}$

*Remark:* A *dominating set* for a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a set  $D \subseteq V$  such that for every vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $v$  is either in  $D$  or adjacent to a node in  $D$ .

*Remark:* A *clique* in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a set  $Q \subseteq V$  such that for all  $u, v \in Q$ :  $\{u, v\} \in E$ .

#### Exercise 2: The Class $\mathcal{NP}$

(3 Points)

Consider the following problem, called SUBSET-SUM. Given a collection  $S$  of integers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and a target  $t$ , it is required to determine whether  $S$  contains a sub-collection that adds up to  $t$ . Then, the problem can be given by

$$\text{SUBSET-SUM} = \left\{ \langle S, t \rangle \mid S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \text{ and for some } \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \text{ we have } \sum_i y_i = t \right\}$$

Show that SUBSET-SUM is in  $\mathcal{NP}$ .

#### Exercise 3: The Class $\mathcal{NPC}$

(7 Points)

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be languages (problems) over alphabets  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ . Then  $L_1 \leq_p L_2$  ( $L_1$  is polynomially reducible to  $L_2$ ), iff a function  $f : \Sigma_1^* \rightarrow \Sigma_2^*$  exists, that can be calculated in polynomial time and

$$\forall s \in \Sigma_1 : s \in L_1 \iff f(s) \in L_2.$$

Language  $L$  is called  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, if *all* languages  $L' \in \mathcal{NP}$  are polynomially reducible to  $L$ , i.e.

$$L \text{ is } \mathcal{NP}\text{-hard} \iff \forall L' \in \mathcal{NP} : L' \leq_p L.$$

The reduction relation ' $\leq_p$ ' is transitive ( $L_1 \leq_p L_2$  and  $L_2 \leq_p L_3 \Rightarrow L_1 \leq_p L_3$ ). Therefore, in order to show that  $L$  is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, it suffices to reduce a known  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard problem  $\tilde{L}$  to  $L$ , i.e.  $\tilde{L} \leq_p L$ . Finally a language is called  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete ( $\Leftrightarrow: L \in \mathcal{NPC}$ ), if

1.  $L \in \mathcal{NP}$  and
2.  $L$  is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard.

Show  $\text{HITTINGSET} := \{\langle \mathcal{U}, S, k \rangle \mid \text{universe } \mathcal{U} \text{ has subset of size } \leq k \text{ that hits all sets in } S \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}\} \in \mathcal{NPC}$ .<sup>1</sup>

Use that  $\text{VERTEXCOVER} := \{\langle G, k \rangle \mid \text{Graph } G \text{ has a vertex cover of size at most } k\} \in \mathcal{NPC}$ .

*Remark:* A **hitting set**  $H \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  for a given universe  $\mathcal{U}$  and a set  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$  of subsets  $S_i \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , fulfills the property  $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  ( $H$  'hits' at least one element of every  $S_i$ ).

A **vertex cover** is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  of nodes of  $G = (V, E)$  such that every edge of  $G$  is adjacent to a node in the subset.

*Hint:* For the poly. transformation ( $\leq_p$ ) you have to describe an algorithm (with poly. run-time!) that transforms an instance  $\langle G, k \rangle$  of  $\text{VERTEXCOVER}$  into an instance  $\langle \mathcal{U}, S, k \rangle$  of  $\text{HITTINGSET}$ , s.t. a vertex cover of size  $\leq k$  in  $G$  becomes a hitting set of  $\mathcal{U}$  of size  $\leq k$  for  $S$  and vice versa(!).

---

<sup>1</sup>The power set  $2^{\mathcal{U}}$  of some ground set  $\mathcal{U}$  is the set of *all subsets* of  $\mathcal{U}$ . So  $S \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$ .