Chapter 2
Greedy Algorithms

Algorithm Theory
WS 2012/13

Fabian Kuhn

Greedy Algorithms

- No clear definition, but essentially:

In each step make the choice that looks best at the moment!

- Depending on problem, greedy algorithms can give
  - Optimal solutions
  - Close to optimal solutions
  - No (reasonable) solutions at all
- If it works, very interesting approach!
  - And we might even learn something about the structure of the problem

Goal: Improve understanding where it works (mostly by examples)

Interval Scheduling

- **Given:** Set of intervals, e.g.
  [0,10],[1,3],[1,4],[3,5],[4,7],[5,8],[5,12],[7,9],[9,12],[8,10],[11,14],[12,14]



- **Goal:** Select largest possible non-overlapping set of intervals
  - Overlap at boundary ok, i.e., [4,7] and [7,9] are non-overlapping

- **Example:** Intervals are room requests; satisfy as many as possible

Greedy Algorithms

- Several possibilities...

Choose first available interval:



Choose shortest available interval:



Greedy Algorithms

Choose available request with earliest finishing time:



R := set of all requests; S := empty set;
while R is not empty **do**
    choose $r \in R$ with smallest finishing time
    add r to S
    delete all requests from R that are not compatible with r
end   // S is the solution

Earliest Finishing Time is Optimal

- Let O be the set of intervals of an optimal solution
- Can we show that $S = O$?
  - No…



- Show that $|S| = |O|$.

Greedy Stays Ahead

- Greedy Solution:

  $$[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots, [a_{|S|}, b_{|S|}], \qquad \text{where } b_i \leq a_{i+1}$$

- Optimal Solution:

  $$[a_1^*, b_1^*], [a_2^*, b_2^*], \ldots, [a_{|O|}^*, b_{|O|}^*], \qquad \text{where } b_i^* \leq a_{i+1}^*$$

- Assume that $b_i = \infty$ for $i > |S|$ and $b_i^* = \infty$ for $i > |O|$

Claim: For all $i \geq 1$, $b_i \leq b_i^*$



Greedy Stays Ahead

Claim: For all $i \geq 1$, $b_i \leq b_i^*$

Proof (by induction on i):

Base: $b_1 \leq b_1^*$ ✓

Step: $b_k \leq b_k^*$ show that $b_{k+1} \leq b_{k+1}^*$

→ Int. available to extend O $\subseteq$ Int. avail. to extend S

b_{k+1}: min finishing time



□

Corollary: Earliest finishing time algorithm is optimal.

Weighted Interval Scheduling

Weighted version of the problem:

- Each interval has a weight
- Goal: Non-overlapping set with maximum total weight

Earliest finishing time greedy algorithm fails:

- Algorithm needs to look at weights
- Else, the selected sets could be the ones with smallest weight…

can't be solved using a greedy alg.

No simple greedy algorithm:

- We will see an algorithm using another design technique later.

dynamic programming

Interval Partitioning

- **Schedule all intervals**: Partition intervals into as few as possible non-overlapping sets of intervals
  - Assign intervals to different resources, where each resource needs to get a non-overlapping set

- Example:
  - Intervals are requests to use some room during this time
  - Assign all requests to some room such that there are no conflicts
  - Use as few rooms as possible

- Assignment to 3 resources:



Depth

Depth of a set of intervals:

- Maximum number passing over a single point in time
- Depth of initial example is 4 (e.g., [0,10],[4,7],[5,8],[5,12]):



Lemma: Number of resources needed $\geq$ depth

Greedy Algorithm

Can we achieve a partition into "depth" non-overlapping sets?

- Would mean that the only obstacles to partitioning are local…

Algorithm:

- Assigns labels $1, \ldots$ to the sets; same label → non-overlapping

1. sort intervals by starting time: $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n$
2. **for** $i = 1$ **to** n **do**
3. assign smallest possible label to I_i
   (possible label: different from conflicting intervals $I_j, j < i$)
4. **end**

Interval Partitioning Algorithm

Example:

- Labels: 



- Number of labels = depth = 4

Interval Partitioning: Analysis

Theorem:

a) Let d be the depth of the given set of intervals. The algorithm assigns a label from $1, \dots, d$ to each

b) Sets with the same label are non-overlapping

Proof:

- b) holds by construction
- For a):
  - All intervals I_j, $j < i$ overlapping with I_i, overlap at the beginning of I_i
  - At most $d - 1$ such intervals → some label in $\{1, \dots, d\}$ is available.