




Chapter 5

Graph Algorithms

Algorithm Theory
WS 2014/15


Fabian Kuhn

Matching




Gifts-Children Graph

- Which child likes which gift can be represented by a graph




Matching

Matching: Set of pairwise non-incident edges



Maximal Matching: A matching s.t. no more edges can be added

Maximum Matching: A matching of maximum possible size




Perfect Matching: Matching of size $n/2$ (every node is matched)

Bipartite Graph

Definition: A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called bipartite iff its node set can be partitioned into two parts $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that for each edge $\{u, v\} \in E$,

$$|\{u, v\} \cap V_1| = 1.$$

- Thus, edges are only between the two parts




Santa's Problem

Maximum Matching in Bipartite Graphs:

Every child can get a gift
iff there is a matching
of size $\# \text{children}$


Clearly, every matching
is at most as big

If $\# \text{children} = \# \text{gifts}$,
there is a solution iff
there is a perfect matching



Reducing to Maximum Flow

- Like edge-disjoint paths...



all capacities are 1

Reducing to Maximum Flow

Theorem: Every integer solution to the max flow problem on the constructed graph induces a maximum bipartite matching of G .

Proof:

1. An integer flow f of value $|f|$ induces a matching of size $|f|$
 - Left nodes (gifts) have incoming capacity 1
 - Right nodes (children) have outgoing capacity 1
 - Left and right nodes are incident to ≤ 1 edge e of G with $f(e) = 1$
2. A matching of size k implies a flow f of value $|f| = k$
 - For each edge $\{u, v\}$ of the matching:
$$f((s, u)) = f((u, v)) = f((v, t)) = 1$$
 - All other flow values are 0


Running Time of Max. Bipartite Matching




Theorem: A maximum matching of a bipartite graph can be computed in time $O(m \cdot n)$.

Perfect Matching?

- There can only be a perfect matching if both sides of the partition have size $n/2$.
- There is no perfect matching, iff there is an s - t cut of size $< n/2$ in the flow network.



s - t Cuts



Partition (A, B) of node set such that $s \in A$ and $t \in B$

- If $v_i \in A$: edge (v_i, t) is in cut (A, B)
- If $u_i \in B$: edge (s, u_i) is in cut (A, B)
- Otherwise (if $u_i \in A, v_i \in B$), all edges from u_i to some $v_j \in B$ are in cut (A, B)

Hall's Marriage Theorem


Theorem: A bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$ for which $|U| = |V|$ has a perfect matching if and only if

$$\forall U' \subseteq U: |N(U')| \geq |U'|,$$

where $N(U') \subseteq V$ is the set of neighbors of nodes in U' .

Proof: No perfect matching $\Leftrightarrow$ some s - t cut has capacity $< n/2$

1. Assume there is U' for which $|N(U')| < |U'|$:



Hall's Marriage Theorem

Theorem: A bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$ for which $|U| = |V|$ has a perfect matching if and only if

$$\forall U' \subseteq U: |N(U')| \geq |U'|,$$


where $N(U') \subseteq V$ is the set of neighbors of nodes in U' .

Proof: No perfect matching $\Leftrightarrow$ some s - t cut has capacity $< n/2$

2. Assume that there is a cut (A, B) of capacity $< n/2$

$$|U'| = \frac{n}{2} - x$$

$$|N(U')| \leq y + z$$



Hall's Marriage Theorem

Theorem: A bipartite graph $G = (U \cup V, E)$ for which $|U| = |V|$ has a perfect matching if and only if

$$\forall U' \subseteq U: |N(U')| \geq |U'|,$$

where $N(U') \subseteq V$ is the set of neighbors of nodes in U' .

Proof: No perfect matching $\Leftrightarrow$ some s - t cut has capacity $< n$

2. Assume that there is a cut (A, B) of capacity $< n$

$$|U'| = \frac{n}{2} - x$$


$$|N(U')| \leq y + z$$

$$x + y + z < \frac{n}{2}$$

What About General Graphs

- Can we efficiently compute a maximum matching if G is not bipartite?
- How good is a **maximal matching**?
 - A matching that cannot be extended...
- **Vertex Cover**: set $S \subseteq V$ of nodes such that

$$\forall \{u, v\} \in E, \quad \{u, v\} \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$



- A vertex cover covers all edges by incident nodes


Vertex Cover vs Matching

Consider a matching M and a vertex cover S

Claim: $|M| \leq |S|$

Proof:

- At least one node of every edge $\{u, v\} \in M$ is in S
- Needs to be a different node for different edges from M




Vertex Cover vs Matching

Consider a matching M and a vertex cover S

Claim: If M is maximal and S is minimum, $|S| \leq 2|M|$

Proof:

- M is maximal: for every edge $\{u, v\} \in E$, either u or v (or both) are matched



- Every edge $e \in E$ is “covered” by at least one matching edge
- Thus, the set of the nodes of all matching edges gives a vertex cover S of size $|S| = 2|M|$.

Maximal Matching Approximation

Theorem: For any maximal matching M and any maximum matching M^* , it holds that

$$|M| \geq \frac{|M^*|}{2}.$$

Proof:


Theorem: The set of all matched nodes of a maximal matching M is a vertex cover of size at most twice the size of a min. vertex cover.

Augmenting Paths

Consider a matching M of a graph $G = (V, E)$:

- A **node** $v \in V$ is called **free** iff it is **not matched**

Augmenting Path: A (odd-length) path that starts and ends at a free node and visits edges in $E \setminus M$ and edges in M alternately.



- Matching M can be improved using an augmenting path by switching the role of each edge along the path

Augmenting Paths


Theorem: A matching M of $G = (V, E)$ is maximum if and only if there is no augmenting path.

Proof:


- Consider non-max. matching M and max. matching M^* and define

$$F := M \setminus M^*, \quad F^* := M^* \setminus M$$

- Note that $F \cap F^* = \emptyset$ and $|F| < |F^*|$
- Each node $v \in V$ is incident to at most one edge in both F and F^*
- $F \cup F^*$ induces even cycles and paths






Finding Augmenting Paths



Blossoms


- If we find an odd cycle...



Matching $M' = M \setminus \{e, e'\}$
is a matching of G' .

Contracting Blossoms

Lemma: Graph G has an augmenting path w.r.t. matching M iff G' has an augmenting path w.r.t. matching M'



Also: The matching M can be computed efficiently from M' .

Edmond's Blossom Algorithm



Algorithm Sketch:

1. Build a tree for each free node
2. Starting from an explored node u at even distance from a free node f in the tree of f , explore some unexplored edge $\{u, v\}$:
 1. If v is an unexplored node, v is matched to some neighbor w :
add w to the tree (w is now explored)
 2. If v is explored and in the same tree:
at odd distance from root $\rightarrow$ ignore and move on
at even distance from root $\rightarrow$ **blossom found**
 3. If v is explored and in another tree
at odd distance from root $\rightarrow$ ignore and move on
at even distance from root $\rightarrow$ **augmenting path found**

Running Time

Finding a Blossom: Repeat on smaller graph

Finding an Augmenting Path: Improve matching

Theorem: The algorithm can be implemented in time $O(mn^2)$.

Matching Algorithms

We have seen:

- $O(mn)$ time alg. to compute a max. matching in *bipartite graphs*
- $O(mn^2)$ time alg. to compute a max. matching in *general graphs*

Better algorithms:

- Best known running time (bipartite and general gr.): $O(m\sqrt{n})$

Weighted matching:

- Edges have weight, find a matching of **maximum total weight**
- *Bipartite graphs*: **flow reduction** works in the same way
- *General graphs*: can also be solved in **polynomial time**
(Edmond's algorithms is used as blackbox)