



Chapter 8 Approximation Algorithms

Algorithm Theory WS 2015/16

Fabian Kuhn

Approximation Algorithms

- Optimization appears everywhere in computer science
- We have seen many examples, e.g.:
 - <u>scheduling job</u>s 🚽
 - traveling salesperson <---
 - maximum flow, maximum matching
 - minimum spanning tree
 - minimum vertex cover

- ...

- Many discrete optimization problems are <u>NP-hard</u>
- They are however still important and we need to solve them
- As algorithm designers, we prefer algorithms that produce solutions which are provably good, even if we can't compute an optimal solution.



Approximation Algorithms: Examples



We have already seen two approximation algorithms

- Metric TSP: If distances are positive and satisfy the triangle inequality, the greedy tour is only by a log-factor longer than an optimal tour
- Maximum Matching and Vertex Cover: A maximal matching gives solutions that are within a factor of 2 for both problems.

Approximation Ratio



An approximation algorithm is an algorithm that computes a solution for an optimization with an objective value that is provably within a bounded factor of the optimal objective value.

Formally:

• OPT ≥ 0 : optimal objective value ALG ≥ 0 : objective value achieved by the algorithm



Example: Load Balancing

We are given:

- m machines M_1, \ldots, M_m
- n jobs, processing time of job i is t_i

Goal:

 Assign each job to a machine such that the <u>makespan</u> is minimized

makespan: largest total processing time of any machine

The above load balancing problem is NP-hard and we therefore want to get a good approximation for the problem.



Greedy Algorithm



There is a simple greedy algorithm:

- Go through the jobs in an arbitrary order
- When considering job *i*, assign the job to the machine that currently has the smallest load.





- We will show that greedy gives a <u>2</u>-approximation
- To show this, we need to compare the solution of greedy with an optimal solution (that we can't compute)
- Lower bound on the optimal makespan T^* :

$$T^* \ge \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$$

- Lower bound can be far from T*:
 - -m machines, m jobs of size 1, 1 job of size m

$$5 = 2m$$

$$T^* = m, \qquad \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 2$$



- We will show that greedy gives a 2-approximation
- To show this, we need to compare the solution of greedy with an optimal solution (that we can't compute)
- Lower bound on the optimal makespan T^* :

$$T^* \ge \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$$

• Second lower bound on optimal makespan T^* :

$$T^* \ge \max_{1 \le i \le n} t_i$$



Theorem: The greedy algorithm has approximation ratio ≤ 2 , i.e., for the makespan \underline{T} of the greedy solution, we have $\underline{T} \leq 2T^*$. **Proof:**

- For machine k, let T_k be the time used by machine k
- Consider some machine M_i for which $T_i = T$
- Assume that job j is the last one schedule on M_i :



• When job j is scheduled, M_i has the minimum load



Theorem: The greedy algorithm has approximation ratio ≤ 2 , i.e., for the makespan T of the greedy solution, we have $T \leq 2T^*$. **Proof:**

• For all machines M_k : load $T_k \ge T - t_j$ $T^* \ge \frac{1}{M} \le t_i \ge T - t_j$ $\frac{T - t_j}{T - t_j} \le T^*$ $\frac{t_j}{t_j} \le T^*$ $T = T - t_j + t_j \le 2T^*$