



# Chapter 2 Greedy Algorithms

## Algorithm Theory WS 2016/17

Fabian Kuhn

### **Greedy Algorithms**



• No clear definition, but essentially:

In each step make the choice that looks best at the moment!

- Depending on problem, greedy algorithms can give
  - Optimal solutions
  - Close to optimal solutions
  - No (reasonable) solutions at all
- If it works, very interesting approach!
  - And we might even learn something about the structure of the problem

**Goal:** Improve understanding where it works (mostly by examples)

### Scheduling with Deadlines

• Given: *n* requests / jobs with deadlines:



- Goal: schedule all jobs with minimum lateness L
  - Schedule: s(i), f(i): start and finishing times of request iNote:  $f(i) = s(i) + t_i$
- Lateness  $L \coloneqq \max\left\{0, \max_{i}\left\{f(i) d_{i}\right\}\right\}$ 
  - largest amount of time by which some job finishes late
- Many other natural objective functions possible...

Algorithm Theory, WS 2016/17

Fabian Kuhn

BURG

### **Greedy Algorithm**



#### Schedule by earliest deadline?

- Schedule in increasing order of  $d_i$
- Ignores lengths of jobs: too simplistic?
- Earliest deadline is optimal!

#### Algorithm:

- Assume jobs are reordered such that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$
- Start/finishing times:
  - First job starts at time s(1) = 0
  - Duration of job *i* is  $t_i: f(i) = s(i) + t_i$
  - No gaps between jobs: s(i + 1) = f(i)

(idle time: gaps in a schedule  $\rightarrow$  alg. gives schedule with no idle time)

#### **Basic Facts**



- 1. There is an optimal schedule with no idle time
  - Can just schedule jobs earlier...
- 2. Inversion: Job *i* scheduled before job *j* if  $d_i > d_j$ Schedules with no inversions have the same maximum lateness



#### Theorem:

There is an optimal schedule  $\mathcal{O}$  with no inversions and no idle time.

#### **Proof:**

- Consider optimal schedule  $\mathcal{O}'$  with no idle time
- If  $\mathcal{O}'$  has inversions,  $\exists$  pair (i, j), s.t. i is scheduled immediately before j and  $d_j < d_i$
- Swapping *i* and *j* gives schedule with
  - 1. Less inversions
  - 2. Maximum lateness no larger than in  $\mathcal{O}'$

### Exchange Argument



- General approach that often works to analyze greedy algorithms
- Start with any solution
- Define basic exchange step that allows to transform solution into a new solution that is not worse
- Show that exchange step move solution closer to the solution produced by the greedy algorithm
- Number of exchange steps to reach greedy solution should be finite...

### Another Exchange Argument Example



- Minimum spanning tree (MST) problem
  - Classic graph-theoretic optimization problem
- **Given**: weighted graph
- Goal: spanning tree with min. total weight
- Several greedy algorithms work
- Kruskal's algorithm:
  - Start with empty edge set
  - As long as we do not have a spanning tree:
    add minimum weight edge that doesn't close a cycle

#### Kruskal Algorithm: Example





### **Kruskal is Optimal**



- Basic exchange step: swap to edges to get from tree T to tree T'
  - Swap out edge not in Kruskal tree, swap in edge in Kruskal tree
  - Swapping does not increase total weight
- For simplicity, assume, weights are unique:

### Matroids

UN FREIBURG

• Same, but more abstract...

#### Matroid: pair (E, I)

- E: set, called the ground set
- *I*: finite family of finite subsets of *E* (i.e.,  $I \subseteq 2^E$ ), called **independent sets**

#### (*E*, *I*) needs to satisfy 3 properties:

- 1. Empty set is independent, i.e.,  $\emptyset \in I$  (implies that  $I \neq \emptyset$ )
- **2.** Hereditary property: For all  $A \subseteq E$  and all  $A' \subseteq A$ ,

if  $A \in I$ , then also  $A' \in I$ 

**3.** Augmentation / Independent set exchange property: If  $A, B \in I$  and |A| > |B|, there exists  $x \in A \setminus B$  such that

 $\mathbf{B}' \coloneqq \mathbf{B} \cup \{\mathbf{x}\} \in \mathbf{I}$ 

### Example



- Fano matroid:
  - Smallest finite projective plane of order 2...



### Matroids and Greedy Algorithms



Weighted matroid: each  $e \in E$  has a weight w(e) > 0

**Goal:** find maximum weight independent set

#### Greedy algorithm:

- 1. Start with  $S = \emptyset$
- 2. Add max. weight  $e \in E \setminus S$  to S such that  $S \cup \{e\} \in I$

Claim: greedy algorithm computes optimal solution

### Greedy is Optimal



• S: greedy solution A: any other solution



#### Forests of a graph G = (V, E):

- forest F: subgraph with no cycles (i.e.,  $F \subseteq E$ )
- $\mathcal{F}$ : set of all forests  $\rightarrow (E, \mathcal{F})$  is a matroid
- Greedy algorithm gives maximum weight forest (equivalent to MST problem)

#### Bicircular matroid of a graph G = (V, E):

- $\mathcal{B}$ : set of edges such that every connected subset has  $\leq 1$  cycle
- $(E, \mathcal{B})$  is a matroid  $\rightarrow$  greedy gives max. weight such subgraph

#### Linearly independent vectors:

- Vector space V, E: finite set of vectors, I: sets of lin. indep. vect.
- Fano matroid can be defined like that

#### **Forest Matroid**



### Greedoid



- Matroids can be generalized even more
- Relax hereditary property:

Replace  $A' \subseteq A \subseteq I \implies A' \in I$ by  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq I \implies \exists a \in A, \text{ s.t. } A \setminus \{a\} \in I$ 

- Exchange property holds as before
- Under certain conditions on the weights, greedy is optimal for computing the max. weight *A* ∈ *I* of a greedoid.
  - Additional conditions automatically satisfied by hereditary property
- More general than matroids