

Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course

Summer Term 2017

Exercise Sheet 8

Hand in (electronically or hard copy) by 12:15 pm, December 18th, 2017

Exercise 1:

Let Σ be a fixed finite alphabet. Show that the language of deterministic finite automata (DFAs) on Σ that accept every word is decidable. Formally, show that

$$L = \{\langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a deterministic finite automaton with } L(A) = \Sigma^*\}$$

is a decidable language.

Remark: You can use that it is not difficult to construct a Turing machine which tests whether an input is the well formed encoding of a deterministic finite automaton.

Exercise 2: The class P

Show that the following languages are in P .

- (a) 5-CYCLE = $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph and contains a cycle of length 5}\}$.

Remark: A cycle of length 5 in G are five distinct nodes v_0, \dots, v_4 such that the edges $\{v_i, v_{i+1 \bmod 5}\}$, $i = 0, \dots, 4$ exist in G .

- (b) $L = \{a^n b^{3n} \mid n \geq 0\}$

- (c) 17-INDEPENDENT SET = $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph and contains an independent set of size 17}\}$.

Remark: An independent set of a graph with size s is a set $S \subseteq V$, $|S| = s$ such that $\{v, w\} \notin E$ for all $u, w \in S$.

- (d) Find a proper citation (e.g., via google) which states whether PRIMES = $\{\langle n \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is prime}\}$ is in P or not.

Exercise 3: Decision vs. construction?

In this exercise we want to show the relation of the *decision* and the *optimization* variant of the knapsack problem.

The *knapsack problem* (KP) is defined as follows. Input: $b \in \mathbb{N}$, weights $w_1, \dots, w_N \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ and profits $p_1, \dots, p_N \in \mathbb{N}$. A feasible solution is a $K \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i \in K} w_i \leq b.$$

In the *optimization variant* the goal is to find a feasible solution which maximizes the profit

$$\sum_{i \in K} p_i .$$

We can define a *decision variant* of the problem as follows:

$$KP = \{ \langle b, w_1, \dots, w_N, p_1, \dots, p_N, k \rangle \mid b, w_1, \dots, w_N, p_1, \dots, p_N \text{ forms a valid knapsack instance} \\ \text{and it has a feasible solution with profit at least } k \}.$$

It is well known that the decision variant of KP is an \mathcal{NP} -complete problem.

In the following we will (implicitly) show that the optimization variant is also \mathcal{NP} -complete.

- (a) Show how to use a polynomial number of repetitions of a KP algorithm to determine the optimal value (profit) of a feasible solution for a given knapsack instance.
- (b) Use the previous result to show that if the decision variant of KP is in \mathcal{P} then the optimization variant (=computing an optimal solution) of KP is in \mathcal{P} as well.

Hint: Try to use the algorithm from a) to iteratively decide whether an item i is needed in an optimal solution.