## Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Winter Term 2019/2020 Exercise Sheet 8

for getting feedback submit electronically by 12:15, Monday, December 16 2019

## Exercise 1: The Class $\mathcal{P}$

 $(2+3+2+3 \ Points)$ 

 $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of languages which can be decided by an algorithm whose runtime can be bounded by p(n), where p is a polynomial and n the size of the respective input (problem instance). Show that the following languages ( $\cong$  problems) are in the class  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since it is typically easy (i.e. feasible in polynomial time) to decide whether an input is well-formed, your algorithm only needs to consider well-formed inputs. Use the  $\mathcal{O}$ -notation to bound the run-time of your algorithm.

- (a) Palindrome:=  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a Palindrome}\}$
- (b) List:= $\{\langle A, c \rangle \mid A \text{ is a finite list of numbers which contains two numbers } x, y \text{ such that } x + y = c\}.$
- (c) 3-CLIQUE :=  $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ has a } clique \text{ of size at least } 3\}$
- (d) 17-DOMINATINGSET :=  $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ has a dominating set of size at most 17}\}$

Remark: A dominating set for a graph G = (V, E) is a set  $D \subseteq V$  such that for every vertex  $v \in V$ , v is either in D or adjacent to a node in D.

Remark: A clique in a graph G = (V, E) is a set  $Q \subseteq V$  such that for all  $u, v \in Q : \{u, v\} \in E$ .

## Exercise 2: The Class $\mathcal{NP}$

(3 Points)

Consider the following problem, called SUBSET-SUM. Given a collection S of integers  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  and a target t, it is required to determine whether S contains a sub-collection that adds up to t. Then, the problem can be given by

$$SUBSET-SUM = \left\{ \langle S, t \rangle | S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \text{ and for some } \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \text{ we have } \sum_i y_i = t \right\}$$

Show that SUBSET-SUM is in  $\mathcal{NP}$ .

## Exercise 3: The Class $\mathcal{NPC}$

(7 Points)

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be languages (problems) over alphabets  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ . Then  $L_1 \leq_p L_2$  ( $L_1$  is polynomially reducible to  $L_2$ ), iff a function  $f: \Sigma_1^* \to \Sigma_2^*$  exists, that can be calculated in polynomial time and

$$\forall s \in \Sigma_1 : s \in L_1 \iff f(s) \in L_2.$$

Language L is called  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, if all languages  $L' \in \mathcal{NP}$  are polynomially reducible to L, i.e.

$$L \text{ is } \mathcal{NP}\text{-hard} \iff \forall L' \in \mathcal{NP} : L' \leq_p L.$$

The reduction relation  $'\leq_p'$  is transitive  $(L_1\leq_p L_2 \text{ and } L_2\leq_p L_3\Rightarrow L_1\leq_p L_3)$ . Therefore, in order to show that L is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard, it suffices to reduce a known  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard problem  $\tilde{L}$  to L, i.e.  $\tilde{L}\leq_p L$ . Finally a language is called  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete  $(\Leftrightarrow: L\in\mathcal{NPC})$ , if

- 1.  $L \in \mathcal{NP}$  and
- 2. L is  $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard.

Show HITTINGSET:= $\{\langle \mathcal{U}, S, k \rangle | \text{ universe } \mathcal{U} \text{ has subset of size } \leq k \text{ that } hits \text{ all sets in } S \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}} \} \in \mathcal{NPC}$ . Use that VERTEXCOVER:= $\{\langle G, k \rangle | \text{ Graph } G \text{ has a } vertex \text{ cover of size at most } k \} \in \mathcal{NPC}$ .

Remark: A hitting set  $H \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  for a given universe  $\mathcal{U}$  and a set  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$  of subsets  $S_i \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , fulfills the property  $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  (H 'hits' at least one element of every  $S_i$ ). A vertex cover is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  of nodes of G = (V, E) such that every edge of G is adjacent to a node in the subset.

Hint: For the poly. transformation  $(\leq_p)$  you have to describe an algorithm (with poly. run-time!) that transforms an instance  $\langle G, k \rangle$  of Vertex Cover into an instance  $\langle \mathcal{U}, S, k \rangle$  of HittingSet, s.t. a vertex cover of size  $\leq k$  in G becomes a hitting set of  $\mathcal{U}$  of size  $\leq k$  for S and vice versa(!).

The power set  $2^{\mathcal{U}}$  of some ground set  $\mathcal{U}$  is the set of all subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$ . So  $S \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{U}}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$ .