

# Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course

## Winter Term 2019/2020

### Exercise Sheet 10

for getting feedback submit electronically by 12:15, Monday, January 20, 2020

#### Exercise 1: Resolution Calculus (3+3 Points)

Considering each of the following cases, first convert the knowledge base ( $KB_i$ ) and the formula ( $\varphi_i$ ) to CNFs. Then, by resolution, show that the knowledge base entails the formula.

- (a)  $KB_1 := \{(x \wedge y) \rightarrow (z \vee w), y \rightarrow x, (z \wedge y) \rightarrow 0, y\}$   
 $\varphi_1 := w \wedge y$
- (b)  $KB_2 := \{\neg A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow (C \wedge D)\}$   
 $\varphi_2 := A \wedge C \wedge D$

#### Exercise 2: Implication vs. Entailment (5 Points)

Show that  $P \models Q \leftrightarrow (True \models P \rightarrow Q)$

#### Exercise 3: Understanding First Order Logic (2+2+2 Points)

Consider the following **first order logical** formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 &:= \forall x R(x, x) \\ \varphi_2 &:= \forall x \forall y R(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists z R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)) \\ \varphi_3 &:= \exists x \exists y (\neg R(x, y) \wedge \neg R(y, x))\end{aligned}$$

where  $x, y$  are variable symbols and  $R$  is a binary predicate. Give an interpretation

- (a)  $I_1$  which is a **model** of  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ .
- (b)  $I_2$  which is **no model** of  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_3$ .
- (c)  $I_3$  which is a **model** of  $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_3$ .

#### Exercise 4: Truth Value (1+1+1 Points)

Determine the truth value of the statement  $\exists x \forall y (x \leq y^2)$  if the domain (or universe) for the variables consists of:

- (a) the positive real numbers,
- (b) the integers,
- (c) the nonzero real numbers.