Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Winter Term 2019/2020 Exercise Sheet 10

for getting feedback submit electronically by 12:15, Monday, January 20, 2020

Exercise 1: Resolution Calculus

(3+3 Points)

Considering each of the following cases, first convert the knowledge base (KB_i) and the formula (φ_i) to CNFs. Then, by resolution, show that the knowledge base entails the formula.

(a)
$$KB_1 := \{(x \wedge y) \to (z \vee w), y \to x, (z \wedge y) \to 0, y\}$$

 $\varphi_1 := w \wedge y$

(b)
$$KB_2 := \{ \neg A \to B, B \to A, A \to (C \land D) \}$$

 $\varphi_2 := A \land C \land D$

Sample Solution

(a)
$$KB_1 = \{ (\neg x \lor \neg y \lor z \lor w), (\neg y \lor x), (\neg z \lor \neg y), y \}$$

 $\varphi_1 = w \land y$

We add the negation of the formula to the knowledge base and try to reach a contradiction by applying the resolution rule.

$$KB'_{1} := \{ (\neg x \lor \neg y \lor z \lor w), (\neg y \lor x), (\neg z \lor \neg y), y, (\neg w \lor \neg y) \}$$

$$\{ (\neg x \lor \neg y \lor z \lor w), \ y \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ (\neg x \lor z \lor w) \}$$

$$\{ (\neg y \lor x), \ y \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ x \}$$

$$\{ (\neg x \lor z \lor w), \ x \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ (z \lor w) \}$$

$$\{ (\neg z \lor \neg y), \ y \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ \neg z \}$$

$$\{ (z \lor w), \ \neg z \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ w \}$$

$$\{ (\neg w \lor \neg y), \ y \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ \neg w \}$$

$$\{ w, \ \neg w \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} [$$

(b)
$$KB_2 = \{(A \vee B), (\neg B \vee A), (\neg A \vee C), (\neg A \vee D)\}\$$

 $\varphi_2 = A \wedge C \wedge D$

We add the negation of the formula to the knowledge base and try to reach a contradiction by applying the resolution rule.

$$KB_2' := \{ (A \lor B), (\neg B \lor A), (\neg A \lor C), (\neg A \lor D), (\neg A \lor \neg C \lor \neg D) \}$$

$$\{ (A \lor B), (\neg B \lor A) \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ A \}$$

$$\{ (\neg A \lor C), A \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ C \}$$

$$\{ (\neg A \lor D), A \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ D \}$$

$$\{ (\neg A \lor \neg C \lor \neg D), A \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ (\neg C \lor \neg D) \}$$

$$\{ (\neg C \lor \neg D), C \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \{ \neg D \}$$

$$\{ \neg D, D \} \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} []$$

Exercise 2: Implication vs. Entailment

(5 Points)

Show that $P \models Q \leftrightarrow (True \models P \rightarrow Q)$

Sample Solution

Let T(P) and T(Q) be the set of models for for P and Q respectively.

- (a) (\to) : Let us assume that $P \models Q$. By the definition of entailment, we have $T(P) \subseteq T(Q)$. Moreover, since there is no interpretation under which Q and $\neg Q$ are both true, $T(Q) \cap T(\neg Q) = \emptyset$. Therefore, it implies that $T(P) \cap T(\neg Q) = \emptyset$. It means that there is no interpretation under which P is true while Q is false. Hence, under all interpretations, $P \to Q$ is true. That is $T(P \to Q) = T(True)$, and consequently $T(True) \subseteq T(P \to Q)$. By the definition of entailment, it concludes $True \models P \to Q$.
- (b) (\leftarrow): Let us assume that $True \models P \rightarrow Q$. By the definition of entailment, this means that $P \rightarrow Q$ is true under all interpretations, and therefore there is no interpretation under which P is true and Q is false, i.e., $T(P) \cap T(\neg Q) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $T(P) \subseteq T(Q)$ and we can conclude that $P \models Q$.
- (a) and (b) together concludes the prove of the statement.

Exercise 3: Understanding First Order Logic

(2+2+2 Points)

Consider the following first order logical formulae

$$\varphi_1 := \forall x R(x, x)$$

$$\varphi_2 := \forall x \forall y \ R(x, y) \to (\exists z R(x, z) \land R(z, y))$$

$$\varphi_3 := \exists x \exists y \ (\neg R(x, y) \land \neg R(y, x))$$

where x, y are variable symbols and R is a binary predicate. Give an interpretation

- (a) I_1 which is a **model** of $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$.
- (b) I_2 which is **no model** of $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_3$.
- (c) I_3 which is a **model** of $\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_3$.

Sample Solution

- (a) Pick $I_1 := \langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot^{I_1} \rangle$ where $R^{I_1}(x,y) : \iff x \leq_{\mathbb{R}} y$. This is a model because $' \leq_{\mathbb{R}}'$ is *reflexive*, therefore fulfills φ_1 . Moreover for every $x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \leq_{\mathbb{R}} y$ we can choose z := x, which fulfills $x \leq_{\mathbb{R}} z \wedge z \leq_{\mathbb{R}} y$. Thus φ_2 is also satisfied.
- (b) Pick $I_2 := \langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot^I \rangle$ where $R^{I_2}(x, y) = \texttt{false}$. This is not a model since it violates φ_1 , e.g. $R^{I_2}(5, 5) = \texttt{false}$.

(c) Take two disjoint copies of \mathbb{R} and the standard $\leq_{\mathbb{R}}$ relation on each of them; if x and y are from different copies they are not related in \mathbb{R} . Formally let

$$I_3 := \langle \{(a,1) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \dot{\cup} \{(a,2) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}, I_3 \rangle$$

where $R^{I_3}((a,g),(b,h)) \Leftrightarrow (g=h \text{ and } a \leq_{\mathbb{R}} b)$.

This is a model because $\leq_{\mathbb{R}}$ is reflexive, therefore I_3 fulfills φ_1 . Furthermore for every two x=(a,g) and y=(b,h) with $R^{I_3}((a,g),(b,h))$, i.e., g=h, we can choose z:=(a,g) which fulfills $R^{I_3}((a,g),(a,g)) \wedge R^{I_3}((a,g),(b,h))$. Thus φ_2 is also satisfied. φ_3 is also satisfied, e.g., (5,1) and (7,2) are incomparable, i.e., we have neither $R^{I_3}((5,1),(7,2))$ nor $R^{I_3}((7,2),(5,1))$

Exercise 4: Truth Value

(1+1+1 Points)

Determine the truth value of the statement $\exists x \forall y (x \leq y^2)$ if the domain (or universe) for the variables consists of:

- (a) the positive real numbers,
- (b) the integers,
- (c) the nonzero real numbers.

Sample Solution

- (a) This is false, since no matter how small a positive number x we might choose, if we assume $y = \sqrt{x/2}$, then $x = 2y^2$, and it will not be true that $x \le y^2$.
- (b) This is true, because we can take x = -1 as an example.
- (c) This is true, since we take x = -1.