

Algorithms and Data Structures

Winter Term 2021/2022

Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 11

Exercise 1: Bitstrings without consecutive ones

Given a positive integer n , we want to compute the number of n -digit bitstrings without consecutive ones (e.g., for $n = 3$ this number is 5, as 000, 001, 010, 100, 101 are the 3-digit bitstrings without consecutive ones).

- (a) Give an algorithm which solves this problem in time $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Explain the runtime.
- (b) Implement your solution. You may use the template `DP.py`.

Sample Solution

- (a) Let $A(n, i)$ be the number of n -digit bitstrings without consecutive ones ending on i , for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. We have $A(n, 0) = A(n-1, 0) + A(n-1, 1)$ and $A(n, 1) = A(n-1, 0)$. It follows $A(n, 0) = A(n-1, 0) + A(n-2, 0)$ and for the base cases $A(1, 0) = 1$ and $A(2, 0) = 2$. The recursive structure is the same as for the Fibonacci numbers. The calculation therefore goes along similar lines as in the lecture (week 11, slide 6). The number of n -digit bitstrings without consecutive ones is $A(n+1, 0)$.
- (b) Cf. `DP.py` (another implementation than described in (a)). The values for 10, 20 and 50 are 144, 17711 and 32951280099.

Exercise 2: Partitioning

Given a set $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to determine whether *there is* a subset $S \subseteq X$ such that $\sum_{x \in S} x = \sum_{x \in X \setminus S} x$ (it is not necessary to compute S).

- (a) Let $W := \sum_{x \in X} x$. Give a recursive formula $s : \{0, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, \dots, W\} \rightarrow \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$ such that $s(i, j) = \text{True}$ if and only if there is a $S \subseteq \{x_0, \dots, x_i\}$ such that $\sum_{x \in S} x = j$. Explain how s can be used to solve the above problem in time $\mathcal{O}(W \cdot n)$.
- (b) Implement your solution. You may use the template `DP.py`. Run your algorithm on the sets given in `set1.txt`, `set2.txt` and `set3.txt`.

Sample Solution

- (a) Assume there is a set $S \subseteq \{x_0, \dots, x_i\}$ with $\sum_{x \in S} x = j$. Then we either have $x_i \in S$ or $x_i \notin S$. In the first case, there is a set $S' \subseteq \{x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$ with $\sum_{x \in S'} x = j - x_i$. In the second case there is a set $S'' \subseteq \{x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$ with $\sum_{x \in S''} x = j$. If such a set S does not exist, there is neither S' nor S'' . We therefore have that S exists if and only if S' or S'' exist. We recursively define

$$s(i, j) = s(i-1, j - x_i) \vee s(i-1, j)$$

where \vee is the or-operator which is true if one of the arguments is true. We define the following base cases. We set $s(i, 0) = \text{True}$ since the empty set sums up to 0. We set $s(0, j) = \text{True}$ if and only if $x_0 = j$. We set $s(i, j) = \text{False}$ if $i < 0$ or $j < 0$.

If there is a set $S \subseteq X$ with $\sum_{x \in S} x = \sum_{x \in X \setminus S} x$, then both sums must equal $W/2$. We therefore obtain a solution of the problem by computing $s(n-1, W/2)$.

We apply dynamic programming to compute $s(n-1, W/2)$. As i and j only decrease in the recursion, we only have $n \cdot (W/2 + 1) = \mathcal{O}(n \cdot W)$ different possibilities for parameters (i, j) . We therefore have to compute $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot W)$ the value of $s(i, j)$.

Computing a single value via $s(i, j) = s(i-1, j-x_i) \vee s(i-1, j)$ *without* the costs for the recursion takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$. We save all values $s(i, j)$ in a dictionary `memo[i, j]` and therefore have to compute the value $s(i, j)$ only once. As runtime we obtain $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot W)$.

- (b) Cf. `DP.py`. The results for the sets `set1.txt`, `set2.txt` and `set3.txt` are `True`, `False`, `True`.

*Remark: The problem instances were chosen rather large. For `set1.txt` and `set3.txt`, the computation went fast as these were **True**-instances. For the **False**-instance `set2.txt`, the whole parameter space $n \cdot (W/2 + 1) \approx 4 \cdot 10^8$ needed to be checked. This could take a few minutes depending on your computer.*