University of Freiburg Dept. of Computer Science Prof. Dr. F. Kuhn S. Faour



## Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Exercise Sheet 6

Due: Sunday, 5th of December 2021, 23:59 pm

## Exercise 1: Proving Decidability

(3+3 Points)

Show that the following languages are decidable.

- (a) Let  $A = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA which doesn't accept any string containing an odd number of 1s} \}$ .
- (b) Let  $B = \{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG over } \{0,1\} \text{ and } 1^* \cap L(G) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Use the fact that a language  $C \cap R$  is context free for some context free language C and regular language R.

Remark: You can use that it is not difficult to construct a TM, which tests whether an input is the well formed encoding of a DFA.

## Exercise 2: Proving Undecidability

(4+4 Points)

Show that both the halting problem and its special version are both undecidable.

(a) The halting problem is defined as

 $H = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on string } w \}.$ 

Hint: Assume H is decidable and try to reach a contradiction by showing some known undecidable problem (cf. lecture) decidable.

(b) The special halting problem is defined as

$$H_s = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on } \langle M \rangle \}.$$

Hint: Assume that M is a TM which decides  $H_s$  and then construct a TM which halts iff M does not halt. Use this construction to find a contradiction.

## Exercise 3: Semi-Decidable vs. Recursively Enumerable (2+4 Points)

Very often people in computer science use the terms *semi-decidable* and *recursively enumerable* equivalently. The following exercise shows in which way they actually are equivalent. We first recall the definition of both terms.

A language L is *semi-decidable* if there is a Turing machine which accepts every  $w \in L$  and does not accept any  $w \notin L$  (this means the TM can either reject  $w \notin L$  or simply not stop for  $w \notin L$ ).

A language is recursively enumerable if there is a Turing machine which eventually outputs every word  $w \in L$  and never outputs a word  $w \notin L$ .

- (a) Show that any recursively enumerable language is semi-decidable.
- (b) Show that any semi-decidable language is recursively enumerable.