

(8 Points)

Algorithm Theory Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 10

Due: Friday, 22nd of December 2023, 10:00 am

Exercise 1: Dracula's path

Count Dracula, eternal in his quest for the arcane, sought a peculiar path through the Midnight Matrix—a path shrouded in darkness. The challenge was to find the shortest simple path with even edges in an undirected graph G, from a starting point, marked by the chilling presence of a coffin s, to a destination enveloped in ancient cryptic powers t. Help Dracula by finding a polynomial algorithm for this problem.

Hint: Try to construct a new graph. Create a matching in it and try to grab the even shortest path between s and t as an alternating path in this new graph.

Sample Solution

Create a copy of our graph G', for every node v in V connect v and its image in G'. In this new graph we have a trivial perfect matching (for every node the pair is the image of it). Delete s' and t from the graph. We can easily prove that there exists an even path between s and t in G if and only if there is an alternating path between s and t' in the new graph. If we find the shortest alternating path we can transform it into the shortest even length path in G.

Exercise 2: Bonus Points Problem

(10 Points)

In the mysterious land of Graphylvania, where mathematical enigmas echoed through the haunted valleys and enchanted vertices held the key to ancient secrets, a peculiar game unfolded. Two formidable players, known as the Graph Masters, engaged in a strategic duel over a finite graph denoted as G. The rules of the game were as intricate as the web of shadows that cloaked Graphylvania. The Graph Masters, taking turns with a solemn rhythm, selected previously untouched vertices, ensuring that each chosen point was adjacent to the one preceding it. The starting vertex is choosen by the Graph Master that play's second. The eerie echoes of their moves resonated through the cryptic edges of G. As the game progressed, a cryptic truth emerged—the last player capable of choosing a vertex would be crowned the ultimate Graph Master. The stakes were high, and the winner would gain not only mathematical glory but also access to the ancient powers hidden within the graph. Rumors whispered through the haunted halls of Graphylvania that a secret lay dormant within the very essence of the graph G. A spectral mathematician known for his insatiable thirst for mathematical truth, Count Graphula, an eternal observer of the mathematical realm, sought to uncover the secrets of the game. Help Count Graphula in finding an if and only if theorem where for every graph you can tell who has the winning strategy.

Sample Solution

Let us call denote the two Graph Masters as A and B and suppose that A is the first player. We claim that the following is true.

Theorem 1. A has a winning strategy if and only if the graph G has a perfect matching. Otherwise, B has a winning strategy.

Proof. If G has a perfect matching then A obviously has a winning strategy, because no matter where B puts the starting vertex A can always choose an edge to go along which is in the matching.

Let us suppose that G does not have a perfect matching. Let us take a matching M that has maximum size in G. B should choose a vertex v that is not covered by M. After this B can always go through matching edge and thus win. Why is this true? Because if not, and A could go to a non-matched vertex there would be an alternating path in graph thus M not a maximum matching. \Box

Exercise 3: Probabilistic Algorithms

(4+8 Points)

(a) In the dark, brooding realm of Transylvania, where legends of the undead and supernatural mysteries whispered through the centuries, a mathematical puzzle unfurled amidst the ancient castle of the legendary vampire, Count Dracula.

Within the shadowy corridors of Dracula's castle, a collection of N mystical points manifested—each point in the plane, a manifestation of arcane energies that resonated with the supernatural forces permeating the very stones of the castle. Dracula, eternal in his quest for knowledge, sought to unravel the enigma concealed within these points.

The challenge presented itself in the form of an ancient riddle—a line must be drawn through these mystical points, ensuring that it traversed at least $\frac{N}{4}$ of the total points. It was known that such a line exists. As the night fell and the moon cast an eerie glow over the castle, Dracula, intrigued by the mathematical puzzle, summoned the denizens of the night to aid him. You are one of these creatures. Create an algorithm which with high probability (at least 0.999) finds this mystical line.

Sample Solution

Basic Idea: Select two points at random from the N point and check if the unique line that goes through them, contains $\frac{N}{4}$ points from the N points. The probability that such an attempt succeed $\langle N \rangle$

is
$$Pr(\mathbf{succeed}) = \frac{\binom{\frac{1}{4}}{2}}{\binom{N}{2}} = \frac{\frac{N}{4}(\frac{N}{4}-1)}{N(N-1)} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{(\frac{N}{4}-1)}{(N-1)}$$
. We want to have success probability at least

0.999. If we do t of these independent tries we have a success probability of $(1 - Pr(\mathbf{succeed}))^t$. We can choose t such that the $(1 - Pr(\mathbf{succeed}))^t$ value will be smaller than 0.0001.

- (b) In class, we looked at the following simple contention resolution problem. There are n processes that need to access a shared resource. Time is divided into time slots and in each time slot, a process i can access the resource if and only if i is the only process trying to access the resource. We have shown that if each process independently tries to access the resource with probability 1/n in each time slot, in time $O(n \log n)$, all processes can access the resource at least once with high probability. The goal of the exercise is to improve the algorithm and to get an O(n) time algorithm under the following assumptions.
 - As in the lecture, all the processes know n (the number of processes). In the algorithm of the lecture, this is needed because the probability 1/n for accessing the resource depends on n. As in the lecture, we also assume that all processes start together in the first time slot.
 - If a process tries to access the resource in a time slot, the process afterwards knows whether the access was successful or not. Also, we assume that a process only needs to succeed once, i.e., once a process has been successful, it stops trying to access the resource.

The goal of this exercise is to give and analyze a randomized algorithm which guarantees that for some given constant c > 0 with probability at least $1 - 1/n^c$, during the first O(n) time slots, each of the *n* processes can access the resource at least once.

- (a) (2 points) Let us first assume that in each time slot at most $n/\ln n$ processes (among n processes) need to access the resource. Adapt the algorithm of the lecture such that all processes succeed in accessing the channel in O(n) rounds with probability at least $1-1/n^{c+1}$.
- (b) (1 point) Let us now assume that we are given an algorithm which guarantees that after T(n) time slots, the number of processes which have not yet succeeded is at most $n/\ln n$ with probability at least $1 1/n^{c+1}$. What is the probability that all n processes succeed when combining this algorithm with the adapted algorithm of the lecture from question (a). Define the appropriate probability events to analyze this probability.
- (c) (5 points) It remains to give an algorithm to which manages to get rid of all except $n/\ln n$ of the processes with probability at least $1 1/n^{c+1}$. Show that this can be achieved by an algorithm which runs in multiple stages. You can use the following hint.

Hint: You can make use of the following fact. Consider a time interval consisting of at least e^2k time slots. During the time interval, there are at most k processes trying to access the resource and in each time slot, each of the at most k processes tries to access the resource with probability 1/k. Then, with probability at least $1 - e^{-k}$, at the end of the interval, at most k/2 of the processes have not succeeded to access the resource.

Sample Solution

(a) Suppose in each time slot $k \leq n/\ln n$ processes want to access the resource. We show that if each of them broadcasts with probability $\ln n/n$, after O(n) steps, all of them have succeeded with probability at least $1 - 1/n^{c+1}$. By choosing $p = \ln n/n$ and using the analysis of the lecture, here we show that all the *n* processes can succeed with high probability.

In the similar way, we define the following events.

 $\mathcal{A}_{i,t}$: process *i* tries to access the resource in time slot *t*.

 $S_{i,t}$: process *i* is successful in time slot *t*.

 $\mathcal{F}_{i,t}$: process *i* does not succeed in time slots $1, 2, \ldots, t$.

By our assumption, $Pr(\mathcal{A}_{i,t}) = p = \ln n/n$. Therefore,

$$\Pr(\mathcal{S}_{i,t}) = p(1-p)^{k-1} \qquad [\text{since in each time slot } k \text{ processes try to access the resource}] \\ \geq \frac{\ln n}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{\ln n} - 1} \qquad \left[\text{since } k \leq \frac{n}{\ln n}\right] \\ > \frac{\ln n}{en}$$

Then $\Pr(\mathcal{F}_{i,t}) = (1 - \Pr(\mathcal{S}_{i,t}))^t$, since $\mathcal{S}_{i,t}$ are independent for different t. We get

$$\Pr(\mathcal{F}_{i,t}) < \left(1 - \frac{\ln n}{en}\right)^t < e^{-\frac{t \ln n}{en}}$$

Hence, probability of no success by time t is less than $e^{-\frac{t \ln n}{en}}$ for a particular process. Setting $t = \lceil en \cdot (c+2) \rceil$ gives $\Pr(\mathcal{F}_{i,t}) < e^{-(c+2)\ln n} = \frac{1}{n^{c+2}}$, which is the failure probability of a particular process i by time t. Therefore the probability of success of the process i by time $t = \lceil en \cdot (c+2) \rceil$ is at least $\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{c+2}}\right)$. Then taking the union bound on the failure probability over all the n processes (as in the lecture), we show that all processes succeed in time t = O(n) with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n^{c+1}}$. For this, we define \mathcal{F}_t : some process has not succeeded by

time t. Then $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_{i,t}$. Therefore, the probability that not all processes have succeeded by time t is:

$$\Pr(\mathcal{F}_t) = \Pr\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_{i,t}\right) \stackrel{\text{union bound}}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr\left(\mathcal{F}_{i,t}\right) < \frac{n}{n^{c+2}} = \frac{1}{n^{c+1}}$$

Hence, all processes succeed with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n^{c+1}}$ in time O(n).

(b) Note that we first run the algorithm which guarantees the number of unsuccessful processes is at most $n/\ln n$. Let us call this algorithm as \mathcal{A}_1 . Then we run the above algorithm in question (a), say the algorithm is \mathcal{A}_2 . Because after the first algorithm all the successful processes stop trying to access the resource and hence there are at most $n/\ln n$ processes trying to access it. So the algorithm in question (a) guarantees that the remaining processes (which is at most $n/\ln n$) are successful with high probability.

Define two events:

- \mathcal{E}_1 : algorithm \mathcal{A}_1 is successful in T(n) time
- \mathcal{E}_2 : algorithm \mathcal{A}_2 is successful in O(n) time

From the given assumption, $\Pr(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1) \leq \frac{1}{n^{c+1}}$ and $\Pr(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2) \leq \frac{1}{n^{c+1}}$. Hence, the probability that algorithm A and algorithm B together give some process has not succeed after time T(n) + O(n) is:

$$\Pr(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{E}}_2) \stackrel{\text{union bound}}{\leq} \Pr(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1) + \Pr(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_2) \leq \frac{2}{n^{c+1}} < \frac{1}{n^c}$$

That is with probability at least $\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^c}\right)$ all n processes succeed after T(n) + O(n) time slots.

(c) The goal is to devise a randomized algorithm which under the above assumptions guarantees that with probability at least $1 - 1/n^{c+1}$, all except at most $n/\ln n$ of the processes can successfully access the shared resource once by O(n) time slots. To do so we split the O(n)available time slots into phases, which shorten in length and participating processes increase their access probabilities.

Phase: The first phase starts with all n processes and ends after e^2n time slots. Each subsequent phase, up to some point, lasts only half as long as the previous phase. More precisely, the j^{th} $(j \ge 2)$ phase starts after $\sum_{i=2}^{j} \frac{e^2 n}{2^{i-2}}$ time slots and lasts for $\frac{e^2 n}{2^{j-1}}$ time slots.

It follows from the 'hint' that at the end of the first phase, at least n/2 processes successfully access the shared resource with probability at least $1-e^{-n}$. With respect to the given assumptions¹, therefore, at most n/2 processes remain active (i.e., unsuccessful) and try to access the shared resource (after the first phase). We assume that each phase halves the number of remaining processes and under that assumption we repeat our 'phases scheme' until the number of remaining processes is less than $cn/\ln n$ where c is a constant yet to be determined. We thus define $\ell := \log(\ln n)$ and for simplicity we assume this is a natural number (otherwise take the ceiling of it).

Assume for now that after every phase at most half of the processes are left. Thus the running time of the algorithm is:

$$\sum_{k} e^{2}k = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{e^{2}n}{2^{i}} = e^{2}n \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2^{i}} \stackrel{\forall \ell}{<} 2e^{2}n = O(n).$$

¹Once a process has been successful, it stops trying to access the resource further.

So far we only assumed that after each phase the number of remaining processes is halved; let us prove this. Define phase j is successful if and only if at most $n/2^{j}$ processes remain active by the end of phase j.

Event j_i : Phase *i* is unsuccessful and it is the first phase for which this is the case.

Due to the hint, $\Pr(j_i) \leq e^{-k} = e^{\frac{-n}{2^i}}$ where $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$. Note that applying the hint here is correct, since if *i* is the first phase in which something can fail, it means that phase *i* started with a proper amount of processes.

Event j: The algorithm has failed, in other words some phase $i \leq \ell$ has not been successful.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(j) &= \Pr(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell} j_i) \\ &\stackrel{\text{union bound}}{\leq} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \Pr(j_i) \\ &\stackrel{\text{hint}}{\leq} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} e^{-\frac{n}{2^i}} \\ &\leq \ell e^{-\frac{n}{2^\ell}} \\ &= \log(\ln n) e^{-\frac{n}{\ln n}} \\ &= \frac{\log(\ln n)}{e^{\frac{n}{\ln n}}} \\ &< 1/n^{c+1} \quad \text{[for any constant } c > 0] \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is true because take the logarithm on both sides and subtract the right side from the left. We end up with $\ln \log \ln n - \frac{n}{\ln n} + (c+1) \ln n < 0$ and this is true for large enough n because $\frac{n}{\ln n}$ is the dominating value in the expression. Consequently, for c > 0 and large enough n ($n \ge 2$), the algorithm succeeds with probability at least $1 - 1/n^{c+1}$. That is the algorithm which runs in multiple phases can get rid of all except $n/\ln n$ of the processes with probability at least $1 - 1/n^{c+1}$.

Remark 1: You may think that why we can not use the above algorithm untill all n processes succeed. The reason behind this is that with $k = o(c \ln n)$ the probability that at most k/2 (halve) processes remain is less than $1 - e^{-o(c \ln n)} = 1 - \omega(1/n)$, i.e., not with high probability. **Remark 2:** To complete the original goal of the exercise, that is to present a randomized algorithm which guarantees that for some given constant c > 0 with probability at least $1 - 1/n^c$, during the first O(n) time slots, each of the n processes can access the resource at least once, we combine the above algorithms as follows:

After the above algorithm in question (c) when less than $n/\ln n$ processes remained unsuccessful or active, we use the simple algorithm of question (a). The complete randomized algorithm is given in Algorithm 1 and is executed by every process. Note that the Algorithm 1 consists of two parts: the first part (where $k \ge n/\ln n$) corresponding to the algorithm in question (c) and the second part (where less than $n/\ln n$ processes remained unsuccessful) corresponding to the algorithm in question (a). Therefore, the combined algorithm guarantees that for some given constant c > 0 with probability at least $1 - 1/n^c$, each of the n processes can access the resource at least once, in linear O(n) time.

```
Input: n processes, one shared resource, and time slots 1, 2, \cdots
Output: All processes successfully access to the shared resource once by O(n) time slots with
            probability at least 1 - 1/n
for i \leftarrow 1 to n do
   k := n;
   repeat
        for t \leftarrow 1 to e^2k do
            Try to access the resource with probability 1/k;
            {\bf if} \ access \ was \ successful \ {\bf then}
             Exit;
            \mathbf{end}
        \mathbf{end}
        k := k/2;
   until k < n/\ln n;
    while True do
        Try to access the resource with probability \frac{\ln n}{n};
        \mathbf{if} \ access \ was \ successful \ \mathbf{then}
           Exit;
        \mathbf{end}
   \mathbf{end}
end
```

Algorithm 1: Linear-time contention resolution

Figure 1: After consolidation