

Algorithm Theory Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 11

Due: Friday, 17th of January 2025, 10:00 am

Exercise 1: Balls into Bins

(10 Points)

Assume we have n bins and n balls (for $n \ge 2$). We now throw all the balls uniformly at random into the bins. In the following we want to show that the maximum number of balls per bin is at most $O(\log n)$ with high probability. For that we define the maximum load L by $\max_{1\le j\le n} Y_j$ where (random variable) Y_j stands for the number of balls in bin j.

- (a) For a given bin j, what is the expected number of balls in j? (i.e., compute $E[Y_j]$) (2 Points)
- (b) Use a Chernoff Bound to show that $P(Y_j \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n) \le 1/n^{2e}$. (6 Points) **Chernoff Bound:** Suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N are independent random variables taking values in $\{0, 1\}$. Let X denote $\sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ and let $\mu = E[X]$ be this sums expected value. Then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$P(X \ge (1+\delta) \cdot \mu) \le \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\delta}$$

(c) Show that the maximum load L is small, i.e., show that $P(L < 2e \cdot \log_2 n) > 1 - \frac{1}{n^4}$. Use a Union Bound! (2 Points)

Sample Solution

a) Let X_i for $1 \le i \le n$ be the random variable that is 1 if ball *i* was thrown in bin *j* and else is 0. By our assumptions we have that for a given *j* all X_i are independent and $P(X_i = 1) = 1/n$. Thus,

$$E[Y_j] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n E\left[X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(0 \cdot P(X_i = 0) + 1 \cdot P(X_i = 1)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = 1$$

b) By the previous task we know that $\mu = E[Y_j] = 1$. Also note that by the assumption that $n \ge 2$ we have that $\log_2 n \ge 1$. We can now proof the statement by choosing $\delta = 2e \cdot \log_2 n - 1$:

$$P(Y_j \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n) = P(Y_j \ge (1 + \underbrace{2e \cdot \log_2 n - 1}_{\delta}) \cdot \mu)$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{e^{-1} \cdot e^{2e \cdot \log_2 n}}{(2e \cdot \log_2 n)^{2e \cdot \log_2 n}}\right)^1$$
$$= \frac{1}{e} \cdot \left(\frac{e}{2e \cdot \log_2 n}\right)^{2e \cdot \log_2 n}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2e \cdot \log_2 n} = \frac{1}{2^{\log_2(n^{2e})}} = \frac{1}{n^{2e}}$$

c) If there is some j s.t. $Y_j \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n$, the maximum load conflicts the statement of the task. We will use a union bound to estimate the probability that there exists such a j:

$$P(L \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n) = P\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^n (Y_j \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n)\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^n P(Y_j \ge 2e \cdot \log_2 n) \le n \cdot 1/n^{2e} = 1/n^{2e-1} \le 1/n^4$$

Exercise 2: Max Cut

Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph. Consider the following randomized algorithm: Every node $v \in V$ joins set S with probability 1/2. You can assume that $(S, V \setminus S)$ actually forms a cut i.e., $\emptyset \neq S \neq V$.

(a) Show that with probability at least 1/3 this algorithm outputs a cut which is a 4-approximation to the maximum cut (i.e., the cut of maximum possible size) (5 Points) Hint: Apply the Markov inequality to the number of edges that do not cross the cut. For a non-negative random variable X, the Markov inequality states that for all t > 0 we have

$$P(X \ge t) \le \frac{E[X]}{t}$$

- (b) How can you use the above's algorithm to devise a 4-approximation with probability at least $1 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k$ for any integer k > 0? (4 Points)
- (c) How would you choose k from the previous subtask to make sure your algorithm computes a 4-approximation with high probability¹? (1 Point)

Sample Solution

(a) Let X be random variable that indicates the number of edges that do not cross the cut and let n = |V| and m = |E|. Further, let

$$X_e := \begin{cases} 1 & e \text{ is not crossing the cut} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since the endpoints of en edge join the cut independently with probability 1/2, the probability that the end points are in the same cut is also 1/2 and hence $P(X_e = 1) = 1/2$. Further, note that $E[X_e] = 0 \cdot P(X_e = 0) + 1 \cdot P(X_e = 1) = P(X_e = 1)$.

$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{e \in E} X_e\right] = \sum_{e \in E} E[X_e] = \sum_{e \in E} P(X_e = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{e \in E} 1 = \frac{m}{2}$$

Hence, by the Markov inequality

$$P\left(X \ge \frac{3m}{4}\right) \le \frac{E[X]}{3m/4} = \frac{4m}{6m} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Let Y be the number of edges that cross the cut. Note that every edge either contributes to X or to Y, thus, m = X + Y. It is easy to see that an upper bound on the number of edges that cross the cut is m. This implies that even the max-cut is of size at most m. We denote the size of the max-cut by OPT.

$$P(Y > OPT/4) \ge P(Y > m/4) = P(m - X > m/4) = P(X < 3m/4) = 1 - P(X \ge 3m/4) \ge \frac{1}{3}$$

Hence, the size of the cut $(S, V \setminus S)$ is a 4-approximation to the max cut with probability at least 1/3.

(b) The guarantee a 4-approximation to the max-cut with prob. at least $1 - (2/3)^k$ we use the above's algorithm as a 'blackbox', run it k times and output the largest of the k cuts. By the above's analysis, a single pass fails with prob. at most 2/3 to archive a 4-approximation. Hence, the probability that all k runs fail is $(2/3)^k$. In other words, the probability that at least one of the constructed cuts is a 4-approximation to the max-cut is at least $1 - (2/3)^k$.

(10 Points)

¹We use the term with high probability in the context of graphs with n nodes and for any given constant c > 0 if the algorithms succeeds with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n^c}$.

(c) If we choose $k = \lceil c \cdot \log_{3/2} n \rceil$, the algorithm will output a 4-approximation with high probability since we have success probability of $\geq 1 - (2/3)^k = 1 - (2/3)^{\lceil \log_{3/2} n^c \rceil} \geq 1 - (2/3)^{\log_{3/2} n^c} = 1 - 1/n^c$.