University of Freiburg Dept. of Computer Science Prof. Dr. F. Kuhn

P. Bamberger

P. Schneider



Algorithms and Datastructures Summer Term 2020 Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 2

Due: Wednesday, 27th of May, 4 pm.

Exercise 1: \mathcal{O} -notation

(7 Points)

Prove or disprove the following statements. Use the set definition of the \mathcal{O} -notation (lecture slides week 2, slides 11 and 12).

(a)
$$3n^3 + 8n^2 + n \in \mathcal{O}(n^3)$$
 (1 Point)

(b)
$$2^n \in o(n!)$$

(c)
$$2 \log n \in \Omega((\log n)^2)$$
 (2 Points)

(d)
$$\max\{f(n), g(n)\} \in \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$$
 for non-negative functions f and g . (2 Points)

Sample Solution

- (a) True. Choose $n_0 = 1$ and c = 12. For $n \ge n_0$ we have $n^3 \ge n^2 \ge n$ and hence $3n^3 + 8n^2 + n \le n_0$ $12n^3 = cn^3.$
- (b) True. Let c > 0. Choose $n_0 = \max\{\frac{1}{c}, 8\}$. For $n \ge n_0$ we have

$$c \cdot n! \stackrel{n \ge 1/c}{\ge} \frac{1}{n} \cdot n! = (n-1)! \ge (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \stackrel{n \ge 8}{\ge} 4^{\frac{n}{2}} = 2^n$$

(c) False. Let c > 0. We have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 2\log n & \geq & c(\log n)^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow & 2 & \geq & c\log n \\ \Leftrightarrow & \frac{2}{c} & \geq & \log n \\ \Leftrightarrow & 4^{\frac{1}{c}} & \geq & n \end{array}$$

So for a given $n_0 \ge 1$ choose $n = \max\{n_0, 4^{\frac{1}{c}}\}+1$. For this n we have $n > n_0$ and $2 \log n < c(\log n)^2$.

(d) True. Choose $n_0 = 1$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_2 = 1$. For $n \ge n_0$ we have

$$c_1 \cdot (f(n) + g(n)) \le \max\{f(n), g(n)\} \stackrel{f,g \ge 0}{\le} c_2(f(n) + g(n))$$

Exercise 2: Sorting by asymptotic growth

(6 Points)

Sort the following functions by their asymptotic growth. Write $g <_{\mathcal{O}} f$ if $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ and $f \notin \mathcal{O}(g)$. Write $g =_{\mathcal{O}} f$ if $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ and $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ (no proof needed).

\sqrt{n}	2^n	n!	$\log(n^3)$
3^n	n^{100}	$\log(\sqrt{n})$	$(\log n)^2$
$\log n$	$10^{100}n$	(n+1)!	$n \log n$
$2^{(n^2)}$	n^n	$\sqrt{\log n}$	$(2^n)^2$

Sample Solution

$$\sqrt{\log n} <_{\mathcal{O}} \log(\sqrt{n}) =_{\mathcal{O}} \log n =_{\mathcal{O}} \log(n^3) <_{\mathcal{O}} (\log n)^2 <_{\mathcal{O}} \sqrt{n} <_{\mathcal{O}} 10^{100} n <_{\mathcal{O}} n \log n$$

$$<_{\mathcal{O}} n^{100} <_{\mathcal{O}} 2^n <_{\mathcal{O}} 3^n <_{\mathcal{O}} (2^n)^2 <_{\mathcal{O}} n! <_{\mathcal{O}} (n+1)! <_{\mathcal{O}} n^n <_{\mathcal{O}} 2^{(n^2)}$$

Exercise 3: Stable Sorting

(7 Points)

A sorting algorithm is called stable if elements with the same key remain in the same order. E.g., assume you want to sort the following strings where the sorting key is the first letter by alphabetic order:

A stable sorting algorithm must generate the following output:

$$["adr", "abc", "bbc", "bcb", "sru", "tuv", "tag", "taa"]$$

A sorting algorithm is not stable (with respect to the sorting key) if it outputs, e.g., the following:

- (a) Which sorting algorithms from the lecture (except CountingSort) are not stable? Prove your statement by giving an appropriate example. (4 Points)
- (b) Describe a method to make any sorting algorithm stable, without changing the *asymptotic* runtime. Explain.

 (3 Points)

Sample Solution

- Selection Sort is not stable. Consider as input the array [x, y, z] with x.key = y.key = 1 and z.key = 0. In the first step, x and z are swapped, because z has the smallest key in the arry. So we get [z, y, x]. This array will not be altered in the second step (as y.key = x.key), i.e., it equals the output of Selection Sort. So x and y have been swapped.
 - Quicksort is not stable. Consider as input the array [x, y, z, w] with x.key = 1, y.key = z.key = 2 and w.key = 0 and assume x is taken as pivot. In the first divide step, y and w are swapped (i.e., we get [x, w, z, y]) and the array is divided into [x, w] and [z, y]. Recursive sorting yields [w, x] and [z, y] and thus [w, x, z, y] will be returned. So y and z have been swapped.
 - Mergesort: If you implement Mergesort according to the pseudocode on page 26 of lecture 01, Mergesort is not stable. The reason is the condition A[i] < A[j] in line 7 of the code which may cause elements with the same key to change order. In the code of MergeSort.py from the first exercise sheet, we used the condition $A[i] \le A[j]$, making the algorithm stable.

(b) Add the number i to the key of the i-th element in the array (i.e., set A[i].key = (A[i].key, i)). Now run the given (non-stable) sorting algorithm according to the lexocographic ordering on this new set of keys. That is, we sort according to the original keys and use the index in A as tie breaker.

Changing the keys takes time O(n). Additionally, each comparison between two elements is prolonged by an additional O(1) steps. As any sorting algorithm takes $\Omega(n)$, the asymptotic runtime does not change.

¹Let $(A, <_A)$ and $(B, <_B)$ be ordered sets. The lexicographic ordering $<_{lex}$ on $A \times B$ is defined by $(a, b) <_{lex} (a', b') : \Leftrightarrow a <_A a' \lor (a = a' \land b <_B b')$