

Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 4

Due: Tuesday, 14th of May 2024, 12:00 $\rm pm$

Exercise 1: CFGs and PDAs

(5+3 Points)

Give a context free grammar for each of the following languages.

- 1. $L_1 = \{a^i b^j | 0 < i \le j\}$
 - $L_2 = \{a^{2n}b^n \mid n > 0\}$
 - $L_3 = \{a^*wc^k | w \in \{a, b\}^*, \text{ and } k \text{ is the number of } a\text{'s in } w\}$
- 2. Create a pushdown automaton that accepts languages L_2 and L_3 .

Sample Solution

Let S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 be the respective start variables of the first three grammars.

1. • $S_1 \rightarrow aBb$ $B \rightarrow aBb \mid Bb \mid \epsilon$ • $S_2 \rightarrow aaS_2b \mid aab$ • $S_3 \rightarrow aS_3 \mid A \mid \epsilon$ $A \rightarrow aAc \mid bA \mid \epsilon$

2. The formal definition of the automatons is implicitly given. PDA for L_2 :





Exercise 2: Proving NonCFL

(4+4 Points)

Use the Pumping Lemma to show that the following languages are not CFL.

- 1. $L_4 = \{a^n b a^{2n} b a^{3n} \mid n \ge 0\}$
- 2. $L_5 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i < j \text{ and } i < k\}$

Bonus: $L_6 = \{a^m \mid m \text{ is a prime}\}$

NB: If you wish you can try first and prove it nonregular using the Pumping Lemma for regular languages and the same idea should be extended to CFLs.

Sample Solution

1. Assume the language is context free. This means that the property from the Pumping Lemma for context free languages should hold true for L_4 .

Now, let p be any pumping length. Consider a string $s := a^p b a^{2p} b a^{3p}$ and let s = uvxyz be a decomposition of s with $|vxy| \leq p$ and |vy| > 0. We show that uv^2xy^2z cannot be in the language, giving a contradiction. If v or y contained b, the string uv^2xy^2z would have more than two b's and is therefore not in the language. So assume that neither v nor y contains b. That means that v as well as y is fully contained in one of the three segments a^p , a^{2p} and a^{3p} . But then pumping s up to uv^2xy^2z would violate the 1:2:3 length ratio of the segments, because the length of at least one segment is changed (as |vy| > 0) and at least one segment keeps its length. Thus a contradiction to the Pumping Lemma. Therefore, L is not context free.

2. Assume the language is context free. This means that the property from the Pumping Lemma for context free languages should hold true for L_5 .

Now, let p be any pumping length. Consider a string $a^{p}b^{p+1}c^{p+1}$, which is in L and has length greater than p. By the Pumping Lemma this must be representable as uvxyz, such that all $uv^{i}xy^{i}z$ are also in L. Neither v nor y may contain a mixture of symbols from $\{a, b, c\}$; otherwise they would be in the wrong order for $uv^{2}xy^{2}z$. Hence, suppose v consists entirely of 'a's. Then there is no way y, which cannot have both 'b's and 'c's, can generate enough of these letters to keep their number greater than that of the 'a's (it can do it for one or the other of them, not both). Similarly y cannot consist of just 'a's. So suppose then that v or y contains only 'b's or only 'c's. Consider the string $uv^{0}xy^{0}z$ which must be in L. Since we have dropped both v and y, we must have at least one 'b' or one 'c' less than we had in uvxyz, which was $a^{p}b^{p+1}c^{p+1}$. Consequently, this string no longer has enough of either 'b's or 'c's to be a member of L. Thus a contradiction to the Pumping Lemma. Therefore, L is not context free.

Bonus solution: Assume the language is context free. This means that the property from the Pumping Lemma for context free languages should hold true for L_6 .

Now, let p be any pumping length. Let t > p be a prime. Since a^t is in L_2 , let uvxyz be the decomposition of s^t , regarding the Pumping Lemma. Let $v = a^i$ and $y = a^j$ Note that |vy| > 0 and $|vxy| \le p$. Hence, i+j > 0 It must hold that $uv^{t+1}xy^{t+1}z \in L_2$. However, $uv^{ti+1}xy^{tj+1}z = uvxyz \cdot v^ty^t = a^{t(1+i+j)}$. Since both t and 1 + i + j are greater than 1, t(1 + i + j) is not a prime. Hence, $uv^{ti+1}xy^{tj+1}z$ is not in L_2 . Thus a contradiction to the Pumping Lemma. Therefore, L is not context free.

Remark: L_1 can also be proven non regular using the pumping lemma for regular languages. Assume the language is regular This means that the property from the Pumping Lemma for regular languages should hold true for L_6 .Let p be the pumping length. Let t > p be a prime. Then, let $x = 0^i$, $y = 0^j$ and $z = 0^k$ such that x + y + z = t. Based on Pumping Lemma, for all $\ell \ge 0$, $xy^\ell z$ must also be in L_2 . However, for $\ell = t + 1$, $xy^\ell z = 0^i 0^{j(t+1)} 0^k = 0^{(i+j+k)} 0^{tj} = 0^{t(j+1)}$, where both t and j + 1 are greater than 1. Therefore, t(j+1) is not a prime, and hence $xy^{t+1}z$ is not in L_2 . Thus a contradiction to the Pumping Lemma. Therefore, L is not regular.

Exercise 3: Closure in CFL

(2+2 Points)

- 1. Show that the context-free languages are closed under union, concatenation and Kleene star. Hint: try to prove that the context-free languages are closed under the above operators via creating the appropriate grammars.
- 2. Knowing that $L_7 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i < j\}$ is a context free language, are context free languages closed under intersection? *Hint: Use the fact that* L_5 *is not a context free language.*

Sample Solution

1. The context-free languages are closed under union, concatenation and Kleene star, i.e. if L_1 and L_2 are context-free languages, so are $L_1 \cup L_2$, L_1L_2 and L_1^* . Indeed, we will prove that the languages are closed by creating the appropriate grammars. Suppose we have two context-free languages L_1 and L_2 , represented by grammars with start symbols S_1 and S_2 respectively. First of all, rename all the terminal symbols in the second grammar so that they don't conflict with those in the first. Then:

To get the union, add the rule $S \to S_1 \mid S_2$, with S representing the start symbol of the grammar of $L_1 \cup L_2$.

To get the concatenation, add the rule $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$, with S representing the start symbol of the grammar of $L_1 L_2$.

To get the Kleene star of L_1 , add the rule $S \to S_1 S \mid \varepsilon$ to the grammar for L_1 , with S representing the start symbol of the grammar of L_1^* .

2. The context-free languages are not closed under intersection, i.e. if L_1 and L_2 are context-free languages, it it not always true that $L_1 \cap L_2$ is also. We will prove the non-closure of intersection by exhibiting a counter-example. Consider the following two context free languages:

$$L_7 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i < j\} \\ L_8 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i < k\}$$

The intersection of these languages is $L_7 \cap L_8 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i < j \text{ and } i < k\} = L_5$ and we just proved that this language is not context-free.