

# Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 7

Due: Monday, 4th of December 2023, 12:00 pm

## Exercise 1: Undecidable or Not Turing recongnizable Problems (4+4 Points)

1. Show that  $EQ_{TM} = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid M_1, M_2 \text{ are Turing Machines and } L(M_1) = L(M_2) \}$  is undecidable.

*Hint:* You may use that  $E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine and } L(M) = \emptyset \}$  is undecidable.

2. Fix an enumeration of all Turing machines (that have input alphabet  $\Sigma$ ):  $\langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle, \langle M_3 \rangle, \ldots$ Fix also an enumeration of all words over  $\Sigma$ :  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots$ .

Prove that language  $L = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w = w_i, \text{ for some } i, \text{ and } M_i \text{ does not accept } w_i\}$  is not Turing recognizable.

Hint: Try to find a contradiction to the existence of a Turing machine that recognizes L.

# Sample Solution

- 1. Assume we had a TM R that decides  $EQ_{TM}$ . We construct a decider F for  $E_{TM}$  in the following and this will lead to a contradiction. F = "On input  $\langle M \rangle$  where M is a TM:

  - Construct a TM B that rejects all inputs.
  - Run R on  $\langle M, B \rangle$ . Accept iff R accepts."
- 2. Assume M is a turing machine recognizing L. Then there is an i such that  $M = M_i$ .

Assume M accepts  $w_i$ . One the one hand this implies  $w_i \in L$  (as M recognizes L), on the other hand it implies  $w_i \notin L$  (by the definition of L), leading to a contradiction.

Now assume M does not accept  $w_i$ . One the one hand this implies  $w_i \notin L$  (as M recognizes L), on the other hand it implies  $w_i \in L$  (by the definition of L), leading to a contradiction.

So in either case we get a contradiciton. Therefore such a TM can not exist.

## Exercise 2: The Halting Problem Revisited (4+4 Points)

Show that both the halting problem and its special version are both undecidable.

1. The *halting problem* is defined as

 $H = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on string } w \}.$ 

Hint: Assume H is decidable and try to reach a contradiction by showing that some known undecidable problem (cf. from the lecture) is decidable.

2. The special halting problem is defined as

 $H_s = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on } \langle M \rangle \}.$ 

Hint: Assume that M is a TM which decides  $H_s$  and then construct a TM which halts iff M does not halt. Use this construction to find a contradiction.

#### Sample Solution

 Assume H is decidable, hence there exists TM R that decides on it. We know from the lecture that the A<sub>TM</sub> problem is undecidable. We reach a contradiction by constructing a TM S that decides on A<sub>TM</sub> as follows. S= "On input < M, w >, where M is a TM and w is a string:
Run TM R on < M, w >, if R rejects, reject.
If R accepts, simulate M on w until it halts. If M accepts, accept; if M rejects, reject."

2. Assume that  $H_s$  is decidable. Then there is a TM M which decides it. Now let us define a TM  $\tilde{M}$  as follows. TM  $\tilde{M}$  on input w uses M to test whether  $w \in H_s$ . If  $w \in H_s$  it enters a non terminating loop, otherwise it accepts w. We now apply  $\tilde{M}$  on input  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$  and construct a contradiction.

 $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle \notin H_s$ : Then M rejects  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$ . Thus  $\tilde{M}$  accepts  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$  by the definition of  $\tilde{M}$ . Thus,  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle \in H_s$ , a contradiction.

 $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle \in H_s$ : Then M accepts  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{M}$  enters a non-terminating loop on  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$  and does not halt on  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$  which means that  $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle \notin H_s$ , a contradiction.

$$\langle \tilde{M} \rangle \in H_s \Leftrightarrow \langle \tilde{M} \rangle \notin H_s$$

#### Exercise 3: O-Notation Formal Proofs

(1+2+3 Points)

Roughly speaking, the set  $\mathcal{O}(f)$  contains all functions that are not growing faster than the function f when additive or multiplicative constants are neglected. Formally:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \iff \exists c > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \ge M : g(n) \le c \cdot f(n)$$

For the following pairs of functions, state whether  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  or  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$  or both. Proof your claims (you do not have to prove a negative result  $\notin$ , though).

(a) 
$$f(n) = 100n, g(n) = 0.1 \cdot n^2$$
  
(b)  $f(n) = \sqrt[3]{n^2}, g(n) = \sqrt{n}$ 

(c)  $f(n) = \log_2(2^n \cdot n^3), g(n) = 3n$  Hint: You may use that  $\log_2 n \le n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Sample Solution

(a) It is  $100n \in \mathcal{O}(0.1n^2)$ . To show that we require constants c, M such that  $100n \leq c \cdot 0.1n^2$  for all  $n \geq M$ . Obviously this is the case for c = 1000 and M = 1.

(b) We have  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ . Let c := 1 and M := 1. Then we have

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

$$g(n) \le c \cdot f(n) \tag{1}$$

$$\sqrt{n} \le n^{2/3} \tag{2}$$

$$1 \le n^{1/6} \tag{3}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \qquad 1 \le n \tag{4}$$

The last inequality is satisfied because  $n \ge M = 1$ .

(c)  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  holds. We give c > 0 and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge M : \log_2(2^n \cdot n^3) \le c \cdot n$ . Indeed,

$$\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3)$$
  
=  $\log_2(2^n) + \log_2(n^3)$   
=  $n + 3 \cdot \log_2(n)$   
 $\leq n + 3n = 4n.$ 

Thus  $\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3) \le c \cdot 3n$  for  $n \ge M := 1$  and c := 4/3.

We also have that  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$  holds because

$$g(n) = 3n \le 3(n+3 \cdot \log_2(n)) = 3(\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3)) = 3 \cdot f(n).$$

Thus with c = 3 and for  $n \ge M := 1$  we have  $g(n) \le cf(n)$ .

## Exercise 4: Sort Functions by Asymptotic Growth (7 Points)

Give a sequence of the following functions sorted by asymptotic growth, i.e., for consecutive functions g, f in your sequence, it should hold  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ . Write " $g \cong f$ " if  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ .

$\log_2(n!)$	$\sqrt{n}$	$2^n$	$\log_2(n^2)$
$3^n$	$n^{100}$	$\log_2(\sqrt{n})$	$(\log_2 n)^2$
$\log_{10} n$	$10^{100} \cdot n$	n!	$n\log_2 n$
$n \cdot 2^n$	$n^n$	$\sqrt{\log_2 n}$	$n^2$

### Sample Solution

For clarification, we write  $g \leq f$  if  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ , but not  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ .