



Theory of Distributed Systems

Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 5

Exercise 1: Weak Agreement

You are given the following problem for two processes to find a weak agreement.

- Input/Output: Both processes have inputs from $\{0, 1\}$ and compute outputs from $\{0, 1, *\}$.
- Agreement: The outputs are equal or one output is $*$ (i.e., it is not allowed that one process outputs 0 and the other 1).
- Validity: If both inputs are the same, they need to output this value in case of no error.
- Termination: Non-failing processes need to terminate after a finite number of steps.

Design a wait-free algorithm/protocol that solves the given problem using only atomic read/write registers and prove the correctness of your algorithm.

Hint: there is a solution which uses only two registers.

Sample Solution

We have two processes 1 and 2 and two variables A and B, which are initialized with value -1. The input value of process 1 is x, and the input value of process 2 is y.

Code for process 1:

```
write(A, x)
if (read(B) == 1-x) then
  decide *
else
  decide x
```

Code for process 2:

```
write(B, y)
if (read(A) == 1-y) then
  decide *
else
  decide y
```

A process either decides to use its own input or $*$. Note that $read(A) == 1 - y$ only evaluates to true if process 1 has successfully written x and $x \neq y$.

To guarantee validity and agreement we need to show that the following holds in the error free case:

- The combination $\{0, 1\}$ is not possible. Let us take a closer look at the two write operations of process 1 and 2. One of them is first, w.l.o.g. let the one of process 1 be first. Then the read operation of process 2 is after the write operation of process 1 and process 2 can see the input of process 1. If both inputs are not the same, process 2 will decide $*$.
- If both have the same input they have the same output. This is true indeed, as one can only decide $*$ if you know that the other process has the a different input from yourself.

Exercise 2: Consensus I

Alice and Bob live in the same town. Once a year they want to meet but they do not want to be seen together in public. So they want to meet at a secret place which one of them chooses. They know a wall in town which is painted white. In addition, they know a painter who paints the wall in the color they wish and sends the person who gave him the order a '*before and after*' picture of the wall. (Of course they color-coded each possible meeting place with a single color in advance.)

1. Design an algorithm which ensures that Alice and Bob meet at the same place.
2. Can you expand your algorithm in such a way that it still works if Charlie wants to meet them as well?
3. How many persons can meet each other, if the wall is in front of the painters' shop and why? Assume that now one can see if someone else is in the shop who is already instructing the painter to paint.

Sample Solution

1. The algorithm looks as follows.

```
Choose the color of the place you want to meet.
Go to the Painter and instruct him to paint the wall in
    the corresponding color
Look at the 'before-and-after-picture' which you get from the painter.
if the wall was white before
the meeting place is the one you have chosen
else
the meeting place is the place according to the 'before-color'
```

2. No, what Alice and Bob can do is known as the RMW primitive-swap, which has consensus number two.
3. If the wall is in front of the painters' shop it is basically the same as the RMW-primitive Compare and Swap (because you would see if the painter is already painting, or if someone is in the shop and instructing the painter to paint) which has consensus number ∞ . This means, that infinitely many persons could meet. The Algorithm would look like this:

```
Choose the color of the place you want to meet.
Go to the Painter
Look at the wall in front of the painters' shop
if the wall is white
enter the shop and instruct the painter to paint the wall in your
color the meeting place is the one you have chosen
else
the meeting place is the place according to the color of the wall
```

Exercise 3: Consensus II

A friend of yours is convinced to have found a great algorithm to solve consensus for 13 processes. His algorithm relies on a method called '*Fetch and Multiply*'. What would you tell him, if he asked you for your opinion? The algorithm is described below.

```
public class RMW {  
    private int value;  
    public synchronized int FAM(int x) {  
        int prior=this.value;  
        this.value=this.value*x;  
        return prior;  
    }  
}
```

Sample Solution

The presented procedure is commutative and thus the consensus number has to be smaller than or equal to two. So I can easily convince my friend that his algorithm does not solve consensus for 13 processes (by using a result from the lecture).