



Algorithm Theory

Chapter 8

Approximation Algorithms

Part II:

The Metric TSP Problem

Fabian Kuhn

Metric TSP

Input:

- Set V of n nodes (points, cities, locations, sites)
- Distance function $d: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $d(u, v)$ is dist from u to v
- Distances define a metric on V :

$$d(u, v) = d(v, u) \geq 0, \quad d(u, v) = 0 \iff u = v$$
$$\forall u, v, w \in V : d(u, v) \leq d(u, w) + d(w, v)$$

Solution:

- Ordering/permutation v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of the vertices
- Length of TSP path: $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1})$
- Length of TSP tour: $d(v_1, v_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1})$

Goal:

- Minimize length of TSP path or TSP tour

Metric TSP

- The problem is **NP-hard**
- We have seen that the **greedy** algorithm (always going to the nearest unvisited node) gives an **$O(\log n)$ -approximation**
- Can we get a constant approximation ratio?
- We will see that we can...

TSP and MST


Claim: The length of an optimal TSP path is lower bounded by the weight of a minimum spanning tree

Proof:

- A TSP path is a spanning tree, it's length is the weight of the tree


$$w(\text{MST}) \leq \text{TSP}_{\text{PATH}} \leq \text{TSP}_{\text{TOUR}}$$

Corollary: Since an optimal TSP tour is longer than an optimal TSP path, the length of an optimal TSP tour is also lower bounded by the weight of a minimum spanning tree.



The MST Tour

Walk around the MST...




The MST Tour

Walk around the MST...

$$\text{cost (walk)} = 2 \cdot w(\text{MST})$$

$$\text{cost (tour)} \leq 2 \cdot w(\text{MST})$$



Approximation Ratio of MST Tour

Theorem: The MST TSP tour gives a **2-approximation** for the metric TSP problem.

Proof:

- Triangle inequality \rightarrow length of tour is at most $2 \cdot \text{weight}(\text{MST})$
- We have seen that $\text{weight}(\text{MST}) < \text{opt. tour length}$

Can we do even better?


Metric TSP Subproblems

Claim: Given a metric (V, d) and (V', d) for $V' \subseteq V$, the optimal TSP path/tour of (V', d) is at most as large as the optimal TSP path/tour of (V, d) .

Optimal TSP tour of nodes 1, 2, ..., 12

Induced TSP tour for nodes 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 12

blue tour \leq green tour



TSP and Matching

- Consider a symmetric TSP instance (V, d) with an even number of nodes $|V|$
- Recall that a perfect matching is a matching $M \subseteq V \times V$ such that every node of V is incident to an edge of M .
- Because $|V|$ is even and because in a metric TSP, there is an edge between any two nodes $u, v \in V$, any partition of V into $|V|/2$ pairs is a perfect matching.
- The weight of a matching M is the sum of the distances represented by all edges in M :


$$w(M) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in M} d(u, v)$$

TSP and Matching

Lemma: Assume we are given a TSP instance (V, d) with an even number of nodes. The length of an optimal TSP tour of (V, d) is at least twice the weight of a minimum weight perfect matching of (V, d) .

Proof:

- The edges of a TSP tour can be partitioned into 2 perfect matchings



Minimum Weight Perfect Matching

Claim: If $|V|$ is even, a minimum weight perfect matching of (V, d) can be computed in polynomial time

Remarks:

- We have seen that a maximum matching of an unweighted graph can be computed in polynomial time.
- With a more complicated algorithm, one can also compute a maximum weight matching of a weighted graph in polynomial time.
- The minimum weight perfect matching problem can easily be reduced to the maximum weighted matching problem.
 - Just make sure that the graph is complete (by adding edges of sufficiently large weight) and define new edge weights $w'_e := w_{\max} - w_e$

Algorithm Outline

Problem of MST algorithm:

- Every edge has to be visited twice

Goal:

- Get a graph on which every edge only has to be visited once (and where still the total edge weight is small compared to an optimal TSP tour)

Euler Tours:

- A tour that visits each edge of a graph exactly once is called an **Euler tour**
- An Euler tour in a (multi-)graph exists if and only if **every node** of the graph has **even degree**
- That's definitely not true for a tree, but can we modify our MST suitably?

Euler Tour


Theorem: A connected, unweighted (multi-)graph G (no self-loops) has an Euler tour if and only if every node of G has even degree.

Proof:

- If G has an odd degree node, it clearly cannot have an Euler tour
- If G has only even degree nodes, a tour can be found recursively:
 1. Start at some node
 2. As long as possible, follow an unvisited edge
 - Gives a partial tour, the remaining graph still has even degree
 3. Solve problem on remaining components recursively
 4. Merge the obtained tours into one tour that visits all edges


TSP Algorithm

1. Compute MST T
2. V_{odd} : nodes that have an odd degree in T ($|V_{\text{odd}}|$ is even)
3. Compute min weight perfect matching M of (V_{odd}, d)
4. $(V, T \cup M)$ is a (multi-)graph with even degrees



TSP Algorithm

5. Compute Euler tour on $(V, T \cup M)$
6. Total length of Euler tour $\leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \mathbf{TSP}_{OPT}$
7. Get TSP tour by taking shortcuts wherever the Euler tour visits a node twice



TSP Algorithm

- The described algorithm is by Christofides

Theorem: The Christofides algorithm achieves an approximation ratio of at most $3/2$.

Proof:

- The length of the Euler tour is $\leq 3/2 \cdot \text{TSP}_{\text{OPT}}$
- Because of the triangle inequality, taking shortcuts can only make the tour shorter