



Algorithm Theory

Exercise Sheet 13

Due: Friday, 7th of February, 2025, 10:00 am

Exercise 1: Prof. Jot

(10 Points)

Suppose you are given a list of N integers $L = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N]$, a_i are positive numbers, and a positive integer C . The problem is to find a subset $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$T(S) = \sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq C,$$

and $T(S)$ is as large as possible.

(a)

Prof. Jot proposes the following greedy algorithm for obtaining an approximate solution to this maximization problem:

Algorithm 1 Greedy Algorithm for Bounded Set Sum

Require: List of integers $[a_1, \dots, a_N]$, capacity C

Ensure: A subset S such that $T(S)$ is maximized under the constraint $T(S) \leq C$

```
1:  $S \leftarrow \emptyset, T \leftarrow 0$ 
2: for  $i = 1$  to  $N$  do
3:   if  $T + a_i \leq C$  then
4:      $S \leftarrow S \cup \{i\}$ 
5:      $T \leftarrow T + a_i$ 
6: return  $S$ 
```

Show that Prof. Jot's algorithm is not a ρ -approximation algorithm for any fixed value ρ . (Use the convention that $\rho > 1$.)

(b)

Describe a 2-approximation algorithm for this maximization problem that runs in $O(N \log N)$ time.

Exercise 2: Miscellaneous Approximations

(10 Points)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected connected graph. A set $D \subseteq V$ is called a *dominating set* if each node in V is either contained in D or adjacent to a node in D .

We consider the following randomized algorithm for d -regular graphs (i.e., graphs in which each node has exactly d neighbors).

Algorithm 2 domset(G)

- 1: $D \leftarrow \emptyset$
 - 2: Each node joins D independently with probability $p \leftarrow \min\{1, \frac{c \cdot \ln n}{d+1}\}$ for some constant $c \geq 1$
 - 3: Each node that is neither in D nor has a neighbor in D joins D
 - 4: **return** D
-

- (a) The *minimum dominating set* problem asks to find a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of minimum size. Show that for $c \geq 2$, the **domset** algorithm computes an $\mathcal{O}(\ln n)$ -approximation of a minimum dominating set with probability at least $1 - \frac{2}{n}$. (3 Points)
- (b) 1. An *independent set* is a set $I \subseteq V$ such that no two nodes in I share an edge in E . The *maximum independent set* problem asks to find an independent set of maximum size. Recall that the *minimum vertex cover* problem asks to find a vertex cover of minimum size. Now, show that both optimization problems are equivalent i.e. finding the minimum-size vertex cover is equivalent to finding the maximum-size independent set. (2 Points)
2. Show that the two problems are not equivalent in an approximation-preserving way, i.e it is not true that for all positive integer α , finding an α -approximate minimum vertex cover is equivalent to finding a α -approximate maximum independent set.
- Hint: Give a counterexample by finding a family of graphs where one can easily obtain a 2-approximate minimum vertex cover, but this will equivalently find a very bad approximate maximum independent set.* (5 Points)