

# **Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 1**

Due:Tuesday, 22nd of October, 12pm

#### Exercise 1: Validness of Mathematical Induction *(Bonus Points)*

To prove that a statement  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , mathematical induction can be stated as

 $(P(1) \wedge \forall k(P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1))) \Rightarrow \forall n P(n)$ 

 $P(1)$  stands for the *Base Case*, and  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$  for *Induction Hypothesis*. The statement above is valid. *i.e)* if Antecedent is true, then the Consequent can't be false. which justifies the use of Mathe matical Induction in this case. Using Contradiction, prove the validity of mathematical induction. In other words, Using contradiction, prove that if  $P(1) \wedge \forall k (P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1))$  is true, then  $\forall n P(n)$ necessarily follows.

Use the Well-Ordering Property of natural numbers to help finding a contradiction.

(Hint: Well-Ordering Property of natural numbers states that every nonempty subset of natural numbers has a *least element*.)

# **Sample Solution**

By negating the consequent  $\forall n P(n)$ , assume there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(n)$  is false.

Let S be the set of natural numbers that make  $P(n)$  false.

S has a least element according to the well-ordering property of natural numbers. Let's call this least element  $m$ .

As  $m \neq 1$ , so  $m > 1$ , which makes  $m - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $m - 1 \notin S$ , as m is the least element of S. According to the  $\forall k(P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)), P(m)$  has to be true, and this contradicts the assumption we've made earlier.

# Exercise 2: Miscellaneous Mathematical Proofs  $(2+3+3+1$  Points)

- 1. Let  $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i$  be the sum of the first *n* natural numbers and  $C(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^3$  be the sum of the first  $n$  cubes. Use mathematical induction to prove the following interesting conclusion:  $C(n) = S^2(n)$  for every n.
- 2. Let  $A, B$ , and C be subsets of U. Which of the following statements is true? Justify.
	- If  $A \cap B = A \cap C$ , then  $B = C$ .
	- If  $A \cup B = A \cup C$ , then  $B = C$ .
	- $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ , where  $\overline{A}$  is the compliment of A.

3. Let  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  be nonempty subsets of a Universal Set U, where n is any positive integer, and  $n \geq 2$ . Using the result of above exercise, i.e.  $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2}$ . Prove a generalized result

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \overline{A_i}
$$

using induction.

4. Let  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_k$  be nonempty subsets of U, where k is any positive integer. Construct a nonempty subset  $A \subseteq U$  such that  $A \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ .

#### **Sample Solution**

1. Base case: for  $n = 1$ ,  $1^3 = (1)^2$  is true.

Induction step: for each  $k \geq 1$ , we assume that the statement holds true for k i.e.  $C(k) = S^2(k)$ (induction hypothesis IH). Now, we need to prove that the statement holds true for  $k+1$  i.e. we want to show that  $C(k+1) = S^2(k+1)$ .

Indeed first, we recall that  $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ , hence  $S^2(k+1) = (\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2})^2$  $\frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}{4}$ .

Next, we have that  $C(k + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} i^3 + (k+1)^3 = C(k) + (k+1)^3 \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} S^2(k) + (k+1)^3 =$ <br>  $\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right)^2 + (k+1)^3 = \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4}\right) + (k+1)^3 = \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}(k^2 + 4k + 4) = \frac{(k+1)^2}{4}(k+2)^2 = S^2(k+1)$ .<br>
Hence, the statement holds true for

- False. We give a counterexample: take  $A = \{1,2,3\}$ ,  $B = \{1,4\}$  and  $C = \{1,5\}$ , hence 2.  $A \cap B = A \cap C$  and  $B \neq C$ .
	- False. We give a counterexample: take  $A = \{1,2\}$ ,  $B = \{1,3\}$  and  $C = \{2,3\}$ , hence  $A \cup B = A \cup C$  and  $B \neq C$ .
	- $\bullet$  (De Morgan's law). Indeed,

$$
x \in \overline{A \cup B} \iff x \notin A \cup B \iff x \notin A \text{ and } x \notin B \iff x \in \overline{A} \text{ and}
$$

$$
x \in \overline{B} \iff x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}
$$

hence,  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ .

3. Base case: for n=2,  $\overline{A_1 \cup A_2} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2}$ .

Induction Hypothesis : for arbitrary  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \overline{A_i}$ , where  $A_1, A_2...A_k$  are subsets of U. We assume this to be true for every possible collection of these k subsets of U. Using this, we want this to be true, also for every possible collection of  $k+1$  subsets of U.

Induction Step(one version) : Starting from induction hypothesis, pick arbitrary  $A_{k+1}$  and add  $A_{k+1}$  for both sides.

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \overline{A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}}
$$

In order to show  $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{A_i},$ 

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \overline{A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{A_i}
$$

Note that for this problem, we have to show for all the possible statements  $P(n)$ . If you take a look at above way of proving it, it starts from induction hypothesis to build up  $(k+1)$ th object. If you do it this way, you need to make sure that you build all the possible objects to prove the statement. This problem was easy because the cardinality of  $(k + 1)$ th object is just +1 from (k)th object. So we only need to pick one arbitrary subset to go to  $(k + 1)$ th object.

But often, this method of building up from the induction hypothesis not always works well. simply because there could be many ways to build up  $(k + 1)$ th objects, and you need to prove for all of them. This is cumbersome and this often leads you to an incorrect proof. So another version, which is stated below, would be a better and natural way to prove, as it starts from an arbitrary object  $A_{k+1}$ (So already covering all the  $(k+1)$ th objects) and try to decompose it so that we could utilize the induction hypothesis.

Induction Step(recommended version) : for some list of subsets  $A_1,...A_{k+1}$ , WTS:  $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{A_i}$ 

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i \cup A_{k+1}} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \overline{A_i} \cap \overline{A_{k+1}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \overline{A_i}
$$

4. We construct A by choosing one element from each  $A_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ .

#### Exercise 3: Graphs (Part 1)

## $(3+2$  Points)

A simple graph is a graph without self loops, i.e., every edge of the graph is an edge between two distinct nodes. The degree  $d(v)$  of a node  $v \in V$  in an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is the number of its neighbors, i.e,  $d(v) = |\{u \in V \mid \{v, u\} \in E\}|$ . Let  $m \ge 0$  denote the number of edges in graph G.

- 1. Prove the handshaking lemma i.e.  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2m$  via mathematical induction on m for any simple qraph  $G = (V, E)$ .
- 2. Show that every simple graph with an odd number of nodes contains a node with even degree.

#### **Sample Solution**

1. We prove the handshaking lemma by mathematical induction on  $m$ .

Base step: let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph where  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = m = 0$ . Notice that G is the empty graph on *n* nodes, hence  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 0$ , thus the handshaking lemma is true on *G*.

Induction step: for each k, we assume that the statement holds true for k i.e.  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2k$ for any graph  $G = (V, E)$  where  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = k$  (induction hypothesis IH).

Now, we need to prove that the statement holds true for  $k + 1$  i.e. we want to show that  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2(k+1)$  for any  $G = (V, E)$  where  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = k+1$ .

Indeed, first we consider a graph  $G = (V, E)$  where  $|V| = n$  and  $|E| = k + 1$ . Let  $\{u, v\}$  be an edge in G. Let  $G' = (V, E')$  where  $E' = E \setminus \{x, y\}$  i.e. G' is the graph obtained after removing an edge  $\{x, y\}$  from G. Note that we denote by  $d_G(v), d_{G'}(v)$  the degree of node v in G and G' respectively.

First we notice that G' has k edges, hence by IH  $\sum_{v \in V} d_{G'}(v) = 2k$ .

Moreover, 
$$
\sum_{v \in V} d_{G'}(v) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{x,y\}} d_{G'}(v) + d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{x,y\}} d_{G}(v) + (d_{G}(x) - 1) + (d_{G}(y) - 1) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{x,y\}} d_{G}(v) + d_{G}(x) + d_{G}(y) - 2 = \sum_{v \in V} d_{G}(v) - 2.
$$

Thus  $\sum_{v \in V} d_G(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d_{G'}(v) + 2 \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} 2k + 2 = 2(k+1)$ 

Hence, the statement holds true for  $k + 1$ , which ends our induction proof.

(Note that how many cases we should divide into, if we have started off from induction hypothesis to build up  $(k+1)$ th statement.)

2. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. We argue by contradiction. Assume that  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $d(v)$  is odd. Now since G has odd number of nodes, we notice that  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v)$  is the sum of an odd number of odd numbers, which is odd. But by the handshaking lemma  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v)$  must be even. This is a contradiction. Thus our assumption must have been false and hence there must exist a node in  $G$  with even degree.

### Exercise 4: Graphs (Part 2)

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is said to be *connected* if for every pair of vertices  $u, v \in V$  such that  $u \neq v$  there exists a path in  $G$  connecting  $u$  to  $v$ .

- 1. Prove that if G is connected, then for any two nonempty subsets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of V such that  $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \phi$ , there exists an edge joining a vertex in  $V_1$  to a vertex in  $V_2$ .
- 2. Let G be a simple, connected graph and P be a path of the longest length  $\ell$  in G. Show that if the two ends of P are adjacent, then  $V = V(P)$ , where  $V(P)$  is the set of vertices of P. *Hint:* Try to argue by contradiction.

# **Sample Solution**

*Definition:* a family of sets  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_k$ , where k is some positive integer is called a *partition* of V if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- For all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ ,  $V_i$  is a nonempty subset of V
- $\bullet \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i = V$
- $V_i \cap V_j = \phi$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  such that  $i \neq j$

*Intuitively* you can think of a partition of a set V as a set of non-empty subsets of V such that every element  $x \in V$  is in exactly one of these subsets.

- 1. Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be any two non empty subsets of V such that  $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \phi$  (i.e.  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  is a partition of the vertex set V). Let  $u \in V_1$  and  $v \in V_2$ . Since G is connected, there exists a path in  $G$  joining  $u$  to  $v$ . For this to happen, there must then exist an edge joining some vertex in  $V_1$  to some other vertex in  $V_2$ , which ends our proof.
- 2. Notations and definitions: A path P on n vertices say  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  is a graph whose set of edges is  $\{\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}\,; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  and to describe it we write  $P = v_1v_2...v_n$ . Let  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  be any two vertices of P, where  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , then we denote by  $P_{[v_i, v_j]}$  $v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_j$  the subpath of P with ends  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

*Solution:* We argue by contradiction. Suppose  $V \neq V(P)$ , where we define  $V(P) := \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{\ell+1}\},\$ then there exists at least one vertex in V that is not in  $V(P)$ . Hence, we can define  $V_1 :=$  $V \backslash V(P) \neq \phi$  and  $V_2 := V(P) \neq \phi$ . Notice that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  from a partition of V. Moreover since  $G$  is connected, by the previous part we deduce that there exists an edge joining a vertex in  $V_1$  (call it x) to a vertex  $v_k$  in  $V_2 = V(P)$ , where  $1 \le k \le \ell + 1$ . Let  $P = v_1v_2...v_{\ell+1}$  and  $e = \{x, v_k\}.$  Since the two ends of P are adjacent i.e.  $\{v_1, v_{\ell+1}\} \in E$ , we can define another path  $P' = xv_k P_{[v_{k+1}, v_{\ell}]} v_{\ell+1} v_1 P_{[v_2, v_{k-1}]}$ . Notice that P' is a path in G of length  $\ell+1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, our supposition is incorrect. Thus,  $V = V(P)$ .