



# Algorithm Theory

## Exercise Sheet 5

**Due:** Friday, 21st of November 2025, 10:00 am

### Exercise 1: The Fair Load Balancing Problem

(8 Points)

You are a project manager leading a team of two developers, Alice and Bob. You have a list of  $n$  tasks that must be completed. Each task  $i$  has an estimated effort/workload  $w_i > 0$  (a positive integer representing, for example, hours). You must assign every task to exactly one of the two developers. Your goal is to distribute the tasks as fairly as possible, so the total workload between Alice and Bob is as balanced as possible. More precise, let  $W_A$  be the overall workload of Alice and  $W_B$  be the overall workload of Bob, we want  $|W_A - W_B|$  to be as small as possible.

Your algorithm should be based on **dynamic programming** and run in time  $O(n \cdot W)$ , where  $n$  is the number of tasks and  $W$  is the overall workload, i.e.,  $W := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = W_A + W_B$ .

*Hint: Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be a fixed ordering of the tasks, then you may want to compute  $\text{OPT}(i, x)$  (for all possible input values) that is a function evaluating to **true** iff one can reach workload exactly  $0 \leq x \leq W$  by only considering the first  $i$  tasks  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_i$ .*

### Exercise 2: Amortized Analysis

(12 Points)

Your plan to implement a **Stack** with the classical operations **push**, **pop** and **peek**. As underlying data structure you use a dynamic array that will grow its size whenever 'many' elements are stored and on the other hand also shrinks its size when only a few elements remain in the array. In the following let  $n_i$  be the number of elements stored in the array and let  $s_i$  be the size of the array after the  $i$ -th operation.

- Before you **push** a new element  $x$  to the array, you check if  $n_{i-1} + 1 < 80\% \cdot s_{i-1}$ . If this is the case then you simply add  $x$ . We say for simplicity, that this can be done in 1 time unit. If on the other hand  $n_{i-1} + 1 \geq 80\% \cdot s_{i-1}$ , you set up a new (empty) array of size  $s_i := 2s_{i-1}$  and copy all elements (and  $x$ ) into the new one. We assume this can be done in  $s_{i-1}$  time units<sup>1</sup>.
- To **pop** an element from the array, you first check if  $n_{i-1} - 1 > 20\% \cdot s_{i-1}$ . If this is the case then pop  $x$  within 1 time unit. If the table size is small, say  $s_{i-1} \leq 8$ , you also just pop  $x$ . But, if  $n_{i-1} - 1 \leq 20\% \cdot s_{i-1}$  and  $s_{i-1} > 8$ , create a new (empty) array of size  $s_i := s_{i-1}/2$  and copy all values except  $x$  into this new array. By assumption, this step takes  $s_i$  time units.
- The **peek** operation returns the last inserted element in 1 time unit. Note that state of the array does not change, i.e.,  $n_{i-1} = n_i$  and  $s_{i-1} = s_i$ .

Initially, the array is of size  $s_0 = 8$ . Assume that this initial step can also be done in 1 time unit. Note that by this initial size and the definition of the pop method we have  $s_i \geq 8$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Also note that after every operation that resized the array at least one element can be pushed or popped until a further resize is required.

<sup>1</sup>For a simpler calculation we use normalized time units, such that all the operations that would take  $O(1)$  time will take at most 1 time unit and operations that would take  $O(s_{i-1})$  time will take at most  $s_i$  time units.

- (a) Let  $i$  be a push operation that resized the array. Show that the following holds. (2 Points)

$$0.4 \cdot s_i \leq n_i < 0.55 \cdot s_i$$

Further, show that if  $i$  is a pop operation that resized the array, the following holds. (2 Points)

$$0.25 \cdot s_i < n_i \leq 0.4 \cdot s_i$$

- (b) Use the **Accounting Method** from the lecture to show that the **amortized running times** of push, pop and peek are  $O(1)$ , i.e., state by how much you additionally charge these three operation and show that the costs you spare on 'the bank' are enough to pay for the costly operations. (4 Points)

*Hint:* Use the previous subtask, even if you didn't manage to show them.

- (c) Show the same statement as in the previous task, but use the **Potential Function Method** this time, i.e., find a potential function  $\phi(n_i, s_i)$  and show that this function is sufficient to achieve constant amortized time for the supported operations. (4 Points)

*Hint:* There is not just one but infinitely many potential functions that work here. However, you may want to use a function of the form  $c_0 \cdot |n_i - c_1 \cdot s_i|$  for some properly chosen constants  $c_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 > 0$ .