University of Freiburg Dept. of Computer Science Prof. Dr. F. Kuhn D. Atalay, S. Faour



# Theoretical Computer Science - Bridging Course Sample Solution Exercise Sheet 7

Due: Tuesday, 2nd of December 2025, 12:00 pm

#### Exercise 1: The Halting Problem Revisited

(3+3 Points)

Show that both the halting problem and its special version are both undecidable.

(a) The *halting problem* is defined as

 $H = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on string } w \}.$ 

Hint: Assume H is decidable and try to reach a contradiction by showing that some known undecidable problem (cf. from the lecture) is decidable.

(b) The special halting problem is defined as

 $H_s = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \text{ encodes a TM and } M \text{ halts on } \langle M \rangle \}.$ 

Hint: Assume that M is a TM which decides  $H_s$  and then construct a TM which halts iff M does not halt. Use this construction to find a contradiction.

### **Sample Solution**

(a) The solution is via the reduction method and thus using the hint.

Assume H is decidable, hence there exists a TM D that decides on it.

We know from the lecture that the  $A_{TM}$  problem is undecidable.

We reach a contradiction by constructing a TM D' that decides on  $A_{TM}$  as follows.

D'= "On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , where M is a TM and w is a string:

- 1. Run TM D on  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , if D rejects, D' reject.
- 2. If D accepts, simulate M on w until it halts. If M accepts, accept; if M rejects, D' reject."

So D' is a decider for  $A_{TM}$ , but D' cannot exist.

Thus, our assumption is false; hence H is undecidable.

(b) The solution is via the diagonalization method and thus using the hint and similar to the lecture. Assume  $H_s$  is decidable, hence there exists a TM D that decides on it.

We build a **Turing machine** T, which is unlike the lecture not a decider. We do so using the help of D to reach a contradiction. Note that the contradiction will stem from the idea that when feeding T its own encoding, we will reach a contradiction to some truth. However, our assumption yields that T should always be correct and never give us a contradiction, thus our assumption must be false and thus H is undecidable. We define T as follows.

T = "On input  $\langle M \rangle$ , where M is a TM:

- 1. Run D on  $\langle M \rangle$
- 2. If D accepts, then T loop and if D rejects, then T halts and accepts.

Thus we have

$$D(\langle M \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{accept} & \text{if } M \text{ halts } \langle M \rangle \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ loops on } \langle M \rangle \end{cases}$$

And thus

$$T(\langle M \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{loop} & \text{if } M \text{ halts } \langle M \rangle \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } M \text{ loops on } \langle M \rangle \end{cases}$$

We feed T its own encoding and reach a contradition as follows

$$T(\langle T \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{loop} & \text{if } T \text{ halts } \langle T \rangle \\ \text{accept} & \text{if } T \text{ loops on } \langle T \rangle \end{cases}$$

## Exercise 2: A Non-Turing Recongnizable Problem (3 Points)

Fix an enumeration of all Turing machines (that have input alphabet  $\Sigma$ ):  $\langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle, \langle M_3 \rangle, \ldots$ Fix also an enumeration of all words over  $\Sigma$ :  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots$ 

Prove that language  $L = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w = w_i, \text{ for some } i, \text{ and } M_i \text{ does not accept } w_i\}$  is not Turing recognizable.

Hint: Try to find a contradiction to the existence of a Turing machine that recognizes L.

#### Sample Solution

Suppose that L is Turing recognizable.

Then there exists a Turing machine T that recognizes L. <sup>1</sup>

Then for the fixed enumerations of all turing machines, there must exist an index i such that  $T = M_i$ . and thus for the fixed enumerations of all words,  $w_i$  will be the corresponding string for  $M_i$ . Now for the specific string  $w_i$ , we have that T accepts  $w_i$  if and only if  $w_i \in L$ ; that is,

T accepts  $w_i \iff M_i$  does not accept  $w_i$ .

But since  $T = M_i$ , this means that

$$M_i$$
 accepts  $w_i \iff M_i$  does not accept  $w_i$ .

which is a contradiction. Therefore such a TM can not exist. Hence, L is not Turing recongnizable.

# Exercise 3: $\mathcal{O}$ -Notation Formal Proofs $(1+2+2 \ Points)$

Roughly speaking, the set  $\mathcal{O}(f)$  contains all functions that are not growing faster than the function f when additive or multiplicative constants are neglected. Formally:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \iff \exists c > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq M : g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$$

For the following pairs of functions, state whether  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  or  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$  or both. Proof your claims (you do not have to prove a negative result  $\notin$ , though).

(a) 
$$f(n) = 100n$$
,  $g(n) = 0.1 \cdot n^2$ 

(b) 
$$f(n) = \sqrt[3]{n^2}, g(n) = \sqrt{n}$$

(c) 
$$f(n) = \log_2(2^n \cdot n^3)$$
,  $g(n) = 3n$    
 Hint: You may use that  $\log_2 n \le n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Which means that for any string  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , T accepts w if and only if  $w \in L$ ; that is, for any string  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , there exists an index k such that  $w := w_k$  and  $(T \text{ accepts } w_k \iff M_k \text{ does not accept } w_k)$ .

#### **Sample Solution**

- (a) It is  $100n \in \mathcal{O}(0.1n^2)$ . To show that we require constants c, M such that  $100n \le c \cdot 0.1n^2$  for all  $n \ge M$ . Obviously this is the case for c = 1000 and M = 1.
- (b) We have  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ . Let c := 1 and M := 1. Then we have

$$g(n) \le c \cdot f(n) \tag{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \qquad \sqrt{n} \le n^{2/3} \tag{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 \le n^{1/6} (3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 \le n \tag{4}$$

The last inequality is satisfied because  $n \geq M = 1$ .

(c)  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  holds. We give c > 0 and  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge M$ :  $\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3) \le c \cdot n$ . Indeed,

$$\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3)$$

$$= \log_2(2^n) + \log_2(n^3)$$

$$= n + 3 \cdot \log_2(n)$$

$$\leq n + 3n = 4n.$$

Thus  $\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3) \le c \cdot 3n$  for  $n \ge M := 1$  and c := 4/3.

We also have that  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$  holds because

$$g(n) = 3n \le 3(n+3 \cdot \log_2(n)) = 3(\log_2(2^n \cdot n^3)) = 3 \cdot f(n).$$

Thus with c = 3 and for  $n \ge M := 1$  we have  $g(n) \le cf(n)$ .

## Exercise 4: Sort Functions by Asymptotic Growth (6 Points)

Give a sequence of the following functions sorted by asymptotic growth, i.e., for consecutive functions g, f in your sequence, it should hold  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ . Write " $g \cong f$ " if  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ .

$\log_2(n!)$	$\sqrt{n}$	$2^n$	$\log_2(n^2)$
$3^n$	$n^{100}$	$\log_2(\sqrt{n})$	$(\log_2 n)^2$
$\log_{10} n$	$10^{100} \cdot n$	n!	$n \log_2 n$
$n \cdot 2^n$	$n^n$	$\sqrt{\log_2 n}$	$n^2$

# Sample Solution

For clarification, we write  $g \lesssim f$  if  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ , but not  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ .